

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: | Non-Governmental Organisations | Revision no.: | 4 |
| Specific Conditions (part I) | Grant Management Regime I | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

GRANT AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

THE NORWEGIAN AGENCY FOR DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION

AND

STRØMMESTIFTELSEN

REGARDING

QZA-23/0188

Strømmestiftelsen-Programme support 2024-2028

PART I: SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

PART II: GENERAL CONDITIONS

PART III: PROCUREMENT PROVISIONS

ANNEX A: BUDGET

ANNEX B: RESULTS FRAMEWORK

LSI B.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: | Non-Governmental Organisations | Revision no.: | 4 |
| Specific Conditions (part I) | Grant Management Regime I | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | SCOPE AND BACKGROUND | 3 |
| 2 | OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT | 3 |
| 3 | IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT | 4 |
| 4 | THE GRANT | 4 |
| 5 | DISBURSEMENT | 5 |
| 6 | REPORTING AND OTHER DOCUMENTATION | 6 |
| 7 | AUDIT | 7 |
| 8 | FORMAL MEETINGS..... | 7 |
| 9 | REVIEWS AND OTHER FOLLOW-UP MEASURES | 7 |
| 10 | PROCUREMENT..... | 7 |
| 11 | REPAYMENT OF INTEREST AND UNUSED FUNDS | 8 |
| 12 | SPECIAL PROVISIONS..... | 8 |
| 13 | NOTICES | 8 |
| 14 | SIGNATURES..... | 9 |

LSI 

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: | Non-Governmental Organisations | Revision no.: | 4 |
| Specific Conditions (part I) | Grant Management Regime I | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

PART I: SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

This grant agreement (the Agreement) has been entered into between:

- (1) The Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad/the Donor), Dept. For Human Development / Section for Education, and
- (2) Strømmestiftelsen/Strømme Foundation (SF), a foundation duly established in Norway under registration number 952002139 (the Grant Recipient),

jointly referred to as the Parties.

1 SCOPE AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Grant Recipient has submitted an application to Norad dated 28/09/2023 (the Application) regarding financial support to the programme titled “Strømmestiftelsen-Programme support 2024-2028, QZA-23/0188” (the Project). The estimated costs of the Project are indicated in the budget attached as Annex A to this Agreement.
- 1.2 Norad has decided to award a grant to be used exclusively for the implementation of the Project (the Grant). The Parties expect the Project to be implemented during the period from 01/01/2024 to 31/12/2028 (the Support Period).

As discussed in the Annual Meeting 24th January 2024, the Grant includes surplus funds attributable to Norad’s grants to the Grant Recipient’s two micro-finance initiatives Strømme Micro Finance East Africa Ltd (SMFEA) in Eastern-Africa and Strømme Micro Credit Guarantee Ltd (SMCGL) in Sri Lanka as further specified in Section 4. These funds shall thus be incorporated into this Agreement and shall be allocated to the Project and governed by conditions set forth in this Agreement with annexes. All previous agreements between the Parties related to these two micro-finance programmes shall be deemed as null and void. Surplus funds attributable to Norad’s grant to the Grant Recipient’s micro-finance program in Bangladesh is not included in the Grant. **Surplus funds from SF's Bangladesh micro-finance engagement:** The Parties will discuss latest developments, options, and ways to formalize the Norad attributable surplus funds in the Annual Meeting in 2024.

- 1.3 The Parties have agreed to enter into an Agreement, consisting of this part I; Specific Conditions, part II; General Conditions, and part III; Procurement Provisions, all of which form an integral part of this Agreement. In the event of discrepancies between the Specific Conditions and the General Conditions or Procurement Provisions, the Specific Conditions shall prevail.

2 OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT

- 2.1 The expected results of the Project are as follows:

The Project’s expected effect(s) on society is to Promote social change, sustainable development, and resilience through access to inclusive quality education, increased incomes and job creation (Impact).

The expected effects for the target group of the Project are (Outcome).

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: | Non-Governmental Organisations | Revision no.: | 4 |
| Specific Conditions (part I) | Grant Management Regime I | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

Outcome 1: Marginalised and disadvantaged children and adolescents enjoy inclusive quality education for lifelong learning

Outcome 2: Poor and vulnerable communities have improved livelihoods and resilience through enhanced income generation

2.2 The full results framework is included as Annex B to this Agreement.

3 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT

3.1 The Project shall be implemented in accordance with the Agreement, including all annexes, and the latest approved Application, including work plan and budget.

3.2 During the implementation of the Project, the Grant Recipient shall exercise the necessary diligence, efficiency and transparency in line with sound financial management and best practise principles.

3.3 The Grant Recipient shall continuously identify, assess and mitigate any relevant risks associated with the implementation of the Project. The risk of potential negative effects of the Project in the following cases (Cross-Cutting Issues) shall always be included in the risk management of the Project:

- a) anti-corruption
- b) climate and environment,
- c) women's rights and gender equality, and
- d) human rights (with a particular focus on participation, accountability and non-discrimination)

3.4 Any significant deviations or changes from the Application, budgets and approved work plans are subject to Norad's prior, written approval. The following deviations/changes shall always be subject to Norad's prior written approval:

- a) changes to the Project's sources of income,
- b) changes to the result framework or scope of the Project, except minor adjustments at the output level which are necessary to achieve agreed outcomes. Future reports must clearly reflect, explain, and justify all changes made,
- c) reallocations that exceed 20 % of a budget line in the attached overall Project budget, Annex A, except for the country budget lines where 30 % reallocation between countries are allowed.

3.5 Norad may suspend disbursements of the Grant until requested changes have been approved. When changes that are subject to Norad's prior written approval are approved, an updated budget and/or results framework and work plan and statistical classification form as relevant reflecting the changes must be submitted to Norad within six weeks after approval of the changes.

3.6 The Grant Recipient shall submit documentation to Norad when SMCGL has been formally closed with the relevant authorities and other stakeholders in Sri Lanka.

4 THE GRANT

The Grant shall amount to maximum NOK 598 409 545 (Five hundred ninety-eight - million, four hundred nine thousand, five hundred forty-five Norwegian Kroner). The Grant includes already disbursed surplus funds attributable to Norad from SMFEA of NOK 21 427 399 and SMCGL of

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: | Non-Governmental Organisations | Revision no.: | 4 |
| Specific Conditions (part I) | Grant Management Regime I | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

NOK 11 982 146. The Grant Recipient shall make these SMFEA and SMCGL surplus funds available for the Project using the account specified below in section 5.6. The surplus funds will be available for Project use upon signature of this Agreement.

The tentative, annual distribution of the Grant will be as follows. This is in addition to the already disbursed surplus funds attributable to Norad from SMFEA and SMCGL:

2024: 107 621 539 NOK
2025: 114 814 361 NOK
2026: 122 239 636 NOK
2027: 120 259 049 NOK
2028: up to 100 065 415 NOK

The Grant Recipient and Norad may discuss and agree on a revised distribution schedule based on the speed of implementation and availability of funds. If the tentative distribution schedule is significantly altered, the Parties shall revise the work plan and budget correspondingly and if necessary, update the statistical classification form cf. article 6.1 e. The adjustments will not affect the total Grant amount.

- 4.1 Disbursement after the current calendar year is subject to Norwegian Parliamentary appropriations. Significant reductions in the Parliament's annual appropriations may lead to a reduction of the Grant for the relevant budget year and/or of the total Grant amount. If the Grant amount is reduced the Parties shall revise the work plan, budget and results framework and the statistical classification form correspondingly, unless the Grant Recipient secures additional funding from other sources.
- 4.2 The Grant, including accrued interest, shall be used exclusively to finance the actual costs of the implementation of the Project during the Support Period.

At least 10 % of the Project's total direct costs shall be covered by funds that do not originate, directly or indirectly, from Norwegian public funds. This contribution shall be identified in the Project's financial statements.

- 4.3 The Grant may be used to cover overheads/indirect costs up to a maximum of 7 % of Norad's pro rata share of the incurred direct costs of the Project.
- 4.4 The Grant Recipient is responsible for obtaining any additional resources which may be required to duly implement the Project.

5 DISBURSEMENT

- 5.1 Except for the surplus funds from micro-finance which have been received by the Grant Recipient, the Grant shall be disbursed in advance instalments based on the financial need of the Project for the upcoming period, which shall not exceed six months. The first disbursement shall be made upon signature of the agreement based on the approved Application. The subsequent disbursements shall be made upon Norad's receipt of written disbursement requests from the Grant Recipient, describing the financial need for the period in question. The first disbursement may include approved Project expenses incurred from the start of the Support Period.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: | Non-Governmental Organisations | Revision no.: | 4 |
| Specific Conditions (part I) | Grant Management Regime I | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

- 5.2 Financial need refers to the budgeted expenditure for the upcoming period, minus any funds available to the Project from all other sources during the same period.
- 5.3 The financial need shall be documented through an updated financial report for the Project and a reference to the latest approved work plan and budget.
- 5.4 The disbursement requests shall be signed by an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient. A confirmation that the Project is being implemented in accordance with the Agreement shall be included in the disbursement request.
- 5.5 All disbursements are conditional upon the Grant Recipient's continued compliance with the requirements of the Agreement, including the timely fulfilment of reporting obligations. Norad may withhold disbursements in accordance with article 18 of the General Conditions if it finds that the requirements of the Agreement have not been met. Except for the Project's first year, the last disbursement each year is subject to Norad's approval of the progress report and financial report.
- 5.6 All disbursements will be made to the following bank account:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Name of the account: | Strømmestiftelsen |
| Account no.: | 3000.32.52597 |
| IBAN no.: | NO1630003252597 |
| Name and address of the bank: | Sparebank Sør, Postboks 200, 4662 Kristiansand |
| Swift/BIC code: | SPSONO22XXX |
| Currency of the account: | NOK |

6 REPORTING AND OTHER DOCUMENTATION

- 6.1 The following shall be submitted by the Grant Recipient to Norad:
- A **progress report** covering the period from January to December shall be submitted to Norad by 31 May each year. The progress report shall include the content specified in article 2 of the General Conditions. Norad's reporting guidelines shall be followed.
 - A **financial report** covering the period from January to December shall be submitted to Norad by 31 May each year. The financial report shall include the content specified in article 3 of the General Conditions. The final financial report shall cover the entire Support Period and shall be submitted along with the final report referred to in article 6.1 f) of the Specific Conditions.
 - An **audit report** covering the annual financial statements of the Project shall be submitted to Norad by 31 May each year. The audit report shall comply with the requirements set out in article 7 of the Specific Conditions and article 5 of the General Conditions. If the auditor submits a management letter (matters for governance attention) this shall be attached to the audit report. The management letter shall list any measures that have been taken as a result of previous Project audits and state whether such results have been adequate to deal with reported shortcomings.
 - The **annual report and audit report** of the Grant Recipient's entire organisation shall be submitted to Norad for information only by 1 August each year. Contributions from Norad shall be disclosed in the annual report, preferably in the notes to the financial statements. If the auditor submits a management letter (matters for governance attention) this shall be attached to the audit report.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: | Non-Governmental Organisations | Revision no.: | 4 |
| Specific Conditions (part I) | Grant Management Regime I | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

- e) An updated **statistical classification form** for sub-unit statistics shall be submitted by 15 November each year where the annual disbursement amount has been revised compared to the previously approved tentative amount or where other deviations amount to more than NOK 1 million per subunit compared to previously submitted documentation for the period.
- f) A **final report** for the Support Period shall be submitted to Norad no later than six months after the end of the Support Period. The final report shall include the content listed in article 4 of the General Conditions. Norad's reporting guidelines shall be followed.

6.2 If the Grant Recipient is unable to meet the deadlines set out above, Norad shall be informed immediately.

6.3 All work plans, budgets and reports shall be approved in writing by Norad unless otherwise agreed by the Parties.

7 AUDIT

7.1 The annual financial statements of the Project shall be audited in accordance with International Standards of Auditing (ISA). The Auditor shall comply with ISA 800 (Special Considerations - Audits of Financial Statements Prepared in Accordance with Special Purpose Frameworks) / ISA 805 (Special Considerations audits of single financial statements and specific elements, accounts or items of a financial statement) and all ISAs relevant to the Project audit. Additional requirements applicable to the auditor and the audit report are included in article 5 of the General Conditions.

8 FORMAL MEETINGS

8.1 The Parties shall hold formal meetings when requested by Norad, normally once or twice per year. Details regarding agenda and procedures will be agreed upon by the Parties prior to each meeting.

9 REVIEWS AND OTHER FOLLOW-UP MEASURES

9.1 An external review focusing on results to date shall be carried out in 2027 and submitted to Norad no later than 01.10.2027. The Grant Recipient shall draft the terms of reference for the review and submit them to Norad for approval. The costs of the review shall be included in the Project budget.

9.2 The Grant Recipient shall each year, together with the progress report c.f. article 6.1 a), submit a list of reviews or evaluations undertaken by the Grant Recipient or another interested party of activities wholly or partly funded by the Grant. Upon Norad's request, a copy of reports of any such review or evaluation shall be forwarded to Norad without undue delay.

9.3 Myanmar: Due to the constraints and risks related to the security situation for civil-society activity in Myanmar, the Grant Recipient shall inform Norad regularly on matters in Myanmar that concerns the fulfilment of this Agreement and/or may put SF staff or Project funds or assets at risk. The same applies to SF's cooperating partners in Myanmar. The formal meeting described in 8.1 and one additional meeting each year tentatively in March/April will be used for more broader discussion related to project progress in Myanmar. Procurement

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: Specific Conditions (part I) | Non-Governmental Organisations Grant Management Regime I | Revision no.: | 4 |
| | | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

9.4 All procurement under the Project shall be completed in accordance with the Procurement Provisions in Part III of this Agreement.

10 REPAYMENT OF INTEREST AND UNUSED FUNDS

10.1 Upon the end of the Support Period or upon termination of this Agreement, any unused funds that total NOK 500 or more shall in its entirety be repaid to Norad as soon as possible and at the latest within 6 months. The amounts originating from surplus funds from SMFEA and SMCGL shall be deemed as to have been used first by the Grant Recipient and thus not likely to be available for repayment at the end of the Support Period. The repayment shall include any interest which has not been used for Project purposes, and other financial gain accrued on the Grant.

10.2 Repayments shall be made to the following bank account:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Name of the account: | Direktoratet for utviklingssamarbeid (Norad) |
| Account no.: | 7694.05.14815 |
| IBAN no.: | NO31 7694 0514 815 |
| Name and address of the bank: | DNB BANK ASA, N-0021 Oslo |
| Swift/BIC code: | DNBANOKKXXX |

10.3 The transaction shall be clearly marked: "Unused funds QZA-23/0188 / Strømmestiftelsen".

11 SPECIAL PROVISIONS

11.1 The following deviation from the Procurement provisions Part III shall apply for this Project:

Section 16.4 of the Procurement Provisions in Part III of this Agreement shall be replaced with the following:

"Norad will also allow the Grant Recipient to use single source procurement in the following cases:

- i. Where product or services can only be provided by a single firm because of exclusive capabilities, exceptional worth for the Project or proprietary rights
- ii. Where standardisation with existing equipment is determined to be important and justified, and compatible goods cannot be provided by other suppliers.
- iii. In case of extreme urgency not foreseeable or attributable to the grant recipient.
- iv. For procurements made from a DG-ECHO certified Humanitarian Procurement Centre (HPC).

The exceptions set out in points (i) and (ii) shall only apply when no reasonable alternative or substitute exists, and the absence of competition is not the result of an artificial narrowing down of the parameters of the procurement.

The grant recipient shall report to Norad on annual basis on the number of such procurements. The procurement protocol's, cf. the Procurement provision art. 12, shall include the justification for the use of 16.4 and be attached to the report."

12 NOTICES

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|------------|
| Agreement Template: Specific Conditions (part I) | Non-Governmental Organisations Grant Management Regime I | Revision no.: | 4 |
| | | Date of revision: | April 2022 |

- 12.1 All communication to Norad concerning the Agreement shall be directed to the Section for Education at the following address/e-mail address: Norad-Utdanning@norad.no
- 12.2 All communication to the Grant Recipient concerning the Agreement shall be directed to Anne Breivik at the following address/e-mail address: Anne.Breivik@stromme.org
- 12.3 Norad's agreement number and agreement title shall be stated in all correspondence regarding this Agreement, including disbursement requests and repayment of unused funds.

13 SIGNATURES

- 13.1 By signing part I of the Agreement, the Parties also confirm receipt and approval of part II; General Conditions, and part III; Procurement Provisions, which all form an integral part of the Agreement.
- 13.2 This Agreement in the English language has been signed by both Parties. In the event of any discrepancies between this English language version and any later translations, the English language version shall prevail.

Place: Oslo, Norway

Date: 12 APR 2024



for the Norwegian Agency for Development
Cooperation,

Lisa Knutsen Sivertsen

Director

Dept. for Human Development



for Strømmestiftelsen

Arvid Grundekjøn

Styreleder

Attachments:

Annex A: Approved budget for the Project
Annex B: Results framework

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Standard: | NGOs | Revision no.: | 4 |
| General Conditions | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date: | April 2022 |

PART II: GENERAL CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO GRANTS FROM THE NORWEGIAN AGENCY FOR DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1 | WORK PLAN AND BUDGET..... | 2 |
| 2 | PROGRESS REPORT | 2 |
| 3 | FINANCIAL REPORT | 2 |
| 4 | FINAL REPORT | 3 |
| 5 | AUDIT | 3 |
| 6 | CONTROL MEASURES..... | 4 |
| 7 | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT..... | 4 |
| 8 | EXCHANGE RATE FLUCTUATIONS | 5 |
| 9 | EQUIPMENT, CONSUMABLES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS | 5 |
| 10 | REAL PROPERTY | 6 |
| 11 | TRANSFER OF THE GRANT TO A COOPERATING PARTNER | 6 |
| 12 | CHANGES OR CIRCUMSTANCES AFFECTING THE PROJECT OR THE GRANT RECIPIENT | 7 |
| 13 | EXTENSION OF THE SUPPORT PERIOD..... | 7 |
| 14 | TRANSPARENCY | 7 |
| 15 | FINANCIAL IRREGULARITIES..... | 7 |
| 16 | SEXUAL EXPLOITATION, SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT | 8 |
| 17 | CONFLICT OF INTEREST | 9 |
| 18 | BREACH OF THE AGREEMENT | 9 |
| 19 | TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT..... | 10 |
| 20 | WAIVER AND IMMUNITIES | 10 |
| 21 | LIABILITY | 11 |
| 22 | ASSIGNMENT | 11 |
| 23 | RECOGNITION AND PUBLICATION | 11 |
| 24 | ENTRY INTO FORCE, DURATION AND AMENDMENT | 11 |
| 25 | CHOICE OF LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES..... | 11 |

LSI 

1 WORK PLAN AND BUDGET

- 1.1 Any updated work plan to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall be directly related to the results framework.
- 1.2 Any updated budget to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall be based on the approved budget in Annex A and include estimated income to the Project from all sources as well as planned expenditures for the upcoming reporting period. The estimated financial need of the Project in the upcoming reporting period shall be clearly stated.

2 PROGRESS REPORT

- 2.1 Any progress reports to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall describe the results achieved by the Project during the reporting period. The report shall be set up in a way that allows direct comparison with the latest approved Application, work plan and budget, and shall be signed by an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient.
- 2.2 The progress reports shall, as a minimum, include:
 - a) an account of the results achieved so far by the Project, using the format, indicators and targets of the approved results framework. The overview must:
 - show delivered main outputs compared to planned Outputs;
 - show the Project's progress towards achieving the Outcome;
 - if possible, describe the likelihood of the Impact being achieved.
 - b) an account and assessment of deviations from the latest approved Application and/or work plan;
 - c) a brief update on the risk management of the Project, including:
 - any new risk factors;
 - how materialized risks have been handled in the reporting period;
 - the effectiveness of mitigating measures;
 - how risks will be handled going forward.

The update shall include both risks affecting Project achievements and the risks for negative consequences from the Project on its surroundings. Potential negative effects on the cross-cutting issues as referred to in the Specific Conditions article 3 shall always be accounted for.
 - d) a brief account of work undertaken to prevent instances of financial irregularities and sexual exploitation, sexual abuse and sexual harassment (SEAH).

3 FINANCIAL REPORT

- 3.1 Any financial report to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall comprise financial statements with a comparison to the latest approved budget for the reporting period, as well as an identification of any deviations from the budget as per clause 3.3 below. The financial report shall be certified by the financial controller (or equivalent) as well as an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient.
- 3.2 The financial statements shall be set up in a way that allows for direct comparison with the latest approved budget, using the same currency and budget line items. They shall, as a minimum, include:
 - a) income from all sources, including bank interest. Norad's contribution shall be specified;
 - b) expenses charged/capitalised in the relevant reporting period;
 - c) expenses charged/capitalised from start-up of the Project to the end of the reporting period;
 - d) unused funds as per the reporting date. Norad's share shall be specified;

- e) overhead/indirect costs to be covered by the Grant in accordance with article 4 of the Specific Conditions;
 - f) balance sheet, when required in accordance with the accounting principles applied;
 - g) explanatory notes including a description of the accounting principles used and any other explanatory material necessary for transparent financial reporting of the Project.
- 3.3 Deviations from the approved budget shall be highlighted with information about both nominal amounts and percentage of each deviation. The Grant Recipient shall include a written explanation of any deviations amounting to more than 10% of a budget line and exceeds NOK 15 000 (fifteen thousand).

4 FINAL REPORT

- 4.1 The final report to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall describe the results achieved by the Project during the Support Period. The report shall be set up in a way that allows for a direct comparison with the Application and shall be signed by an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient.
- 4.2 The final report shall, as a minimum, include:
- a) the items listed for the progress reports described in article 2 of the General Conditions, covering the entire Support Period;
 - b) an assessment of the Project's effect on society (Impact);
 - c) a description of the main lessons learned from the Project;
 - d) an assessment of how efficiently Project resources have been turned into outputs
 - e) an assessment of the sustainability of the achieved results by the Project.

5 AUDIT

- 5.1 If an audit of the Project's financial statements is required pursuant to the Specific Conditions article 6, the audit shall be carried out by an independent chartered/certified or state-authorised public accountant (auditor).
- 5.2 Norad reserves the right to approve the auditor and may require that the auditor shall be replaced if Norad finds that the auditor has not performed satisfactorily or if there is any doubt as to the auditor's independence or professional standards.
- 5.3 The auditor shall form an opinion on whether the Project's financial statements fairly reflect the financial position of the Project and whether they are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and the requirements of article 3 of the General Conditions.
- 5.4 The auditor shall report in accordance with the applicable audit standards, as agreed in the Specific Conditions.
- 5.5 The audit report shall include:
- a) the Project name and agreement number;
 - b) the Project period subject of the audit;
 - c) reference to the financial reporting framework applied;
 - d) the auditing standards applied;
 - e) a statement that the auditor has obtained reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement;
 - f) the auditor's opinion.

- 5.6 If any findings have been reported in the Project's management letter, the Grant Recipient shall prepare a response including an action plan to be submitted to Norad together with the management letter.
- 5.7 The costs of the audit of the Project's financial statements shall be included in the Project's budget.
- 5.8 The audit requirements stated in this Agreement are applicable for the total Grant, including any part of the Grant that has been transferred to a cooperating partner.
- 5.9 The auditor of the Project's consolidated financial statement is responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the audit of any part of the Grant that has been transferred to a cooperating partner. The auditor shall assure itself that those performing the audit for cooperating partners have the appropriate qualifications, that the audit is in compliance with professional standards, and that the audit report is appropriate under the circumstances. ISA 600 establishes standards and provide guidelines when using the work of other auditors.
- 5.10 The auditor of the Project's consolidated financial statement shall express an opinion on whether the statement is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and the requirements of article 3. To this end, the auditor shall obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial statements of the cooperating partner and the consolidation process.

6 CONTROL MEASURES

- 6.1 Representatives of Norad and the Norwegian Auditor General may at all times carry out independent reviews, audits, field visits or evaluations or other control measures related to the Project. The objective of such control measures may be i.a to verify that the Grant has been used in accordance with the Agreement or to evaluate the achievement of results.
- 6.2 The Grant Recipient shall facilitate such control measures by providing all information and documentation necessary to carry out the relevant initiative, as well as ensuring unrestricted access to any premises, records, goods and documents requested.
- 6.3 The representatives of Norad and the Norwegian Auditor General shall also have access to the Grant Recipient's auditor and the auditor's assessments of all information pertaining to the Grant Recipient and the Project. The Grant Recipient shall release the auditor from any confidentiality obligations in order to facilitate such access.
- 6.4 The rights and obligations of this article 6 shall remain in force for 5 years following expiry or termination of the Agreement, whichever occurs later.

7 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

- 7.1 The Grant Recipient shall keep accurate accounts of the Project's income and expenditure using an appropriate accounting- and double-entry book-keeping system¹.

¹ A double-entry bookkeeping a system is system of bookkeeping where every entry to an account requires a corresponding and opposite entry to a different account.

LSI 

- 7.2 The accounts shall be kept up to date at least on a monthly basis. Bank reconciliations² and cash reconciliations³ shall be completed at least every month, and shall be documented by the Grant Recipient.
- 7.3 Accounts and expenditures relating to the Project must be easily identifiable and verifiable, either by using separate accounts for the Project or by ensuring that Project expenditure can be easily identified and traced within the general accounting- and bookkeeping systems. Insufficient documentation may render the expenditure ineligible. The accounts must provide details of bank interest accrued on the Grant.
- 7.4 The Grant Recipient shall keep the Project's accounting records for at least 5 years from the time of Norad's approval of the final report for the Project. This shall include i.a. vouchers, receipts, contracts and bank statements.

8 EXCHANGE RATE FLUCTUATIONS

- 8.1 If the Grant is converted into another currency, the exchange shall be made through a national or commercial bank unless otherwise approved by Norad. Exchange rates must be stated to four decimal places.
- 8.2 If exchange rate fluctuations decrease the value of the Grant to such an extent that this will have consequences for the implementation of the Project, the Grant Recipient shall inform Norad as soon as possible.
- 8.3 If exchange rate fluctuations increase the value of the Grant, the surplus shall be treated as disbursed Grant funds and used for Project purposes. This means that net surplus from conversion into foreign currency shall be subtracted from future disbursements or repaid as unused funds at the end of the Support Period, unless otherwise agreed between the Parties.

9 EQUIPMENT, CONSUMABLES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

- 9.1 The right of ownership to equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights procured or developed by use of the Grant shall vest in the Grant Recipient or its cooperating partner, unless otherwise stated in the Application. All matters associated with such equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights are the exclusive responsibility of the Grant Recipient. However, significant use of such equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights for purposes outside the Project shall be subject to the Norad's prior approval.
- 9.2 Intellectual property rights financed in whole or in part from the Grant shall, in the spirit of securing such rights as a common global good, be managed in a way that maximizes their public accessibility and allows the broadest possible use. Material produced as a result of this Grant shall, as far as possible and appropriate, be placed in the public domain for non-commercial use.

² Bank reconciliation is a process of verifying whether the sum found in the bank statements at the end of the period correspond with transactions recorded in the accounting system. This is usually done in conjunction with closure of the accounting records.

³ Cash reconciliation is a process of verifying whether the cash at hand at the end of the period corresponds with the amount of cash in the beginning of the period and the registrations of withdrawals and deposits in the period. This is usually done in conjunction with closure of the accounting records.

LSI
17

- 9.3 Norad shall have a non-exclusive and royalty-free license to use all intellectual property rights procured or developed by the use of the Grant. Norad may assign this right to any individual or organisation at its own discretion.
- 9.4 Transfer of ownership of such equipment, consumables or intellectual property rights during the Support Period shall be made at market terms. Ownership may not be transferred to an employee of the Grant Recipient or its cooperating partner, or to anyone related or connected to an employee, if such relation could lead to a conflict of interest as described in article 17 of the General Conditions.
- 9.5 Before a transfer is decided, the Grant Recipient shall assess whether it may have an impact on the Project and, where appropriate, consult with Norad. Any income from a transfer shall accrue to the Project, and shall be reported in the financial statement of the Project.
- 9.6 The Grant Recipient shall prepare a record of transfer of ownership for any equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights. The record shall comprise information about the object of transfer, the original purchase price paid by the Grant Recipient, price offers received, the final sales price and the name of the purchaser. The record shall be submitted to Norad along with the first progress report due after the sale.
- 9.7 If the activities of the Project do not continue after the end of the Support Period or after termination of the Agreement, the Grant Recipient shall inform Norad about the remaining equipment and goods that have been purchased by use of the Grant. The Norad may require that such assets be sold. Such sale shall be completed in accordance with the procedures described above. Income from the sale shall be repaid to Norad.

10 REAL PROPERTY

- 10.1 The Grant may not be used to purchase or construct real property (land or buildings) unless explicitly approved by Norad. Where Norad has approved a purchase or construction of real property such approval must be formalised in the Specific Conditions or in a separate agreement document.
- 10.2 The Grant Recipient and Norad shall in such agreement decide on the details concerning the ownership and the status of the real property after the end of the Support Period and/or the end of the Project.
- 10.3 Norad may in such an agreement require i.a. that the real property shall be sold after the end of the Support Period and that the proceeds from the sale shall be repaid to Norad. Norad may also reserve the right to establish security interests in any real property purchased by use of the Grant.

11 TRANSFER OF THE GRANT TO A COOPERATING PARTNER

- 11.1 Transfer of all or part of the Grant including assets to a cooperating partner must be documented through a written sub-grant agreement. The sub-grant agreement shall specify that the cooperating partner is required to comply with the provisions of this Agreement which is relevant to the sub-grant agreement and to cooperate with the Grant Recipient to ensure that the Grant Recipient is able to fulfil its overall obligations under the Agreement.
- 11.2 The sub-grant agreement shall include provisions for results and financial reporting, audit, procurement and measures to prevent financial irregularities and sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment. Furthermore, the sub-grant agreement shall explicitly state that:

LSI 

- a) both the Grant Recipient, Norad and the Norwegian Auditor General shall have access to undertake such control measures related to the cooperating partner's use of the Grant as described in article 6 of the General Conditions,
 - b) the Grant Recipient shall be entitled to claim repayment from the cooperating partner in the same instances and to the same extent that Norad is entitled to claim repayment from the Grant Recipient, and that Norad has the right to claim repayment directly from the cooperating partner to the same extent as the Grant Recipient,
 - c) the cooperating partner shall accept the choice of law and settlement of disputes provisions in article 25 of the General Conditions for any dispute arising between the cooperating partner and Norad.
- 11.3 The Grant Recipient shall assure itself that the cooperating partner has the necessary competence and internal procedures to meet the requirements of the Agreement that are relevant for the sub-grant agreement and shall follow-up the cooperating partner's compliance with such requirements throughout the Support Period.
- 11.4 The Grant Recipient must obtain and assess management letters issued to all cooperating partners. Any significant findings and a response including an action plan to be submitted to Norad.
- 11.5 The Grant may not be transferred to a cooperating partner who has previously been charged or sentenced for any criminal activity unless explicitly approved by Norad.
- 11.6 The Grant Recipient shall remain fully responsible towards Norad for any part of the Grant including assets that has been transferred to a cooperating partner.

12 CHANGES OR CIRCUMSTANCES AFFECTING THE PROJECT OR THE GRANT RECIPIENT

- 12.1 The Grant Recipient shall immediately inform Norad of circumstances likely to hamper, delay or otherwise significantly affect the successful implementation of the Project. The same applies to significant changes to, or circumstances materially affecting, the Grant Recipient's organisation. Norad may suspend disbursement of the Grant until the implications for the Project has been assessed.

13 EXTENSION OF THE SUPPORT PERIOD

- 13.1 The Grant Recipient may request an extension of the Support Period if this is necessary to complete all planned activities. The request must state the reasons for the delay and supporting documentation must be enclosed. Norad shall approve or decline the request in writing.

14 TRANSPARENCY

- 14.1 Norad may make this Agreement and other Project documentation, such as but not limited to, the Application and agreed reports available to the public to promote transparency of the use of public funds.
- 14.2 The Grant Recipient shall make the Project documentation, including the Application and all agreed reports, available to anyone upon request. Requests for disclosure may only be denied if such disclosure is prohibited by confidentiality obligations and/or if it may be detrimental to the Grant Recipient's legitimate interests.

15 FINANCIAL IRREGULARITIES

- 15.1 The Grant Recipient is required to practise zero tolerance against corruption and other financial irregularities within and related to the Project. The zero-tolerance policy applies to all staff members, consultants and other non-staff personnel and to cooperating partners and where relevant beneficiaries of the Grant.
- 15.2 "Financial irregularities" refers to all kinds of:
- a) corruption, including bribery, nepotism and illegal gratuities;
 - b) misappropriation of cash, inventory and all other kinds of assets;
 - c) financial and non-financial fraudulent statements;
 - d) all other use of Project funds which is not in accordance with the Agreement.
- 15.3 In order to fulfil the zero-tolerance requirement, the Grant Recipient shall:
- a) organise its operations and internal control systems in a way that financial irregularities are prevented and detected;
 - b) do its utmost to prevent and stop financial irregularities within and related to the Project;
 - c) require that all staff involved in, and any consultants, suppliers and contractors financed under the Project refrain from financial irregularities.
- 15.4 The Grant Recipient shall inform Norad immediately of any indication of financial irregularities in or related to the Project. The Grant Recipient shall provide Norad with an account of all the known facts and an assessment of how the matter should be followed up, including whether criminal prosecution or other sanctions are considered appropriate.
- 15.5 The matter will be handled by Norad in accordance with Norad's guidelines for handling suspicion of financial irregularities. The Grant Recipient shall cooperate fully with Norad's investigation and follow-up. If requested by Norad, the Grant Recipient shall, provided there is sufficient basis for taking legal steps, report the suspicions to the police, commence civil proceedings for recovery of damages or apply other appropriate sanctions against persons or entities suspected of financial irregularities. However, in cases where the Grant Recipient is concerned that due process of law may be unavailable, the matter shall instead be included in the account and assessment referred to in clause 15.4 for discussion of a mutually acceptable course of action.

16 SEXUAL EXPLOITATION, SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT

- 16.1 Norad has zero tolerance for inaction against sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment (SEAH). The Grant Recipient shall have a victim/survivor-centred approach to SEAH issues and take all reasonable steps to prevent, detect and respond to SEAH within and related to the Project. This obligation applies to all staff members, consultants and other non-staff personnel, cooperating partners and any third parties involved in activities funded by the Grant.
- 16.2 The following definitions apply:
- a) Sexual exploitation: Any actual or attempted abuse of a position of vulnerability, differential power, or trust, for sexual purposes, including, but not limited to, profiting monetarily, socially or politically from the sexual exploitation of another.
 - b) Sexual abuse: The actual or threatened physical intrusion of a sexual nature, whether by force or under unequal or coercive conditions.
 - c) Sexual harassment: Any form of unwanted sexual attention that has the purpose or effect of being offensive, frightening, hostile, degrading, humiliating or troublesome.

16.3 The Grant Recipient shall:

- a) Adhere to the IASC-Minimum Operation Standards on “Protection from sexual exploitation and abuse by own personnel” and/or the SEA elements of the Core Humanitarian Standard on Quality and Accountability,
- b) have ethical guidelines that include policies on prevention and response to SEAH,
- c) organise its operations and internal control systems in a way that SEAH is prevented, detected and responded to,
- d) take swift action on suspicions or complaints of SEAH to stop harm occurring, investigate and report to relevant authorities (for criminal matters), after considering the rights, needs and wishes of the survivor/victim.

16.4 The Grant Recipient shall inform Norad immediately of any indications of SEAH credible enough to warrant an investigation within or related to the Project as well as indications of SEAH not directly linked to the Project but of significant impact on the partnership with Norad.

16.5 The Grant Recipient shall provide Norad with a report of the relevant facts and an assessment of how the matter will be followed up, including whether the organisation will improve internal systems to prevent, detect and respond to SEAH, and whether criminal prosecution or other sanctions are considered appropriate, considering the rights, needs and wishes of the survivor/victim. The reporting will be made without compromising the safety, security, privacy, and due process rights of any concerned person. The report will be handled by Norad in accordance with Norad’s guidelines for handling notifications of sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, and sexual harassment by grant recipients.

16.6 Upon request from Norad, the Grant Recipient shall grant the Norad access to all relevant information and documentation related to the Grant Recipient’s adherence with this article.

17 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

17.1 The Grant Recipient shall take all necessary precautions to avoid any conflicts of interest in all matters related to the Project.

17.2 Conflict of interest refers to any situation where the impartial and objective exercise is, or may be, compromised for reasons involving family, personal life, political or national affinity, economic interest or any other connection or shared interest with another person. The obligation to avoid conflict of interest applies to anyone acting on behalf of the Grant Recipient.

17.3 If a conflict of interest occurs, the Grant Recipient shall, without delay, take all necessary measures to resolve the conflict, e.g. by replacing the person in question or by obtaining independent verification of the terms of the proposed decision or transaction.

17.4 If the conflict of interest cannot be resolved and/or if it relates to a decision or transaction of special significance to the Project, the decision or transaction may not be concluded without the prior, written approval of Norad.

18 BREACH OF THE AGREEMENT

18.1 If the Grant Recipient fails to fulfil its obligations under this Agreement and/or if there is suspicion of financial irregularities, Norad may suspend disbursement of all or part of the Grant.

18.2 In the event of material breach of the Agreement, Norad may terminate the Agreement with immediate effect, and/or claim repayment of all or parts of the Grant. A repayment claim may

LSI

also include interest and any other financial gain obtained by the Grant Recipient as a result of the financial irregularity.

18.3 Material breach of the Agreement shall include, without limitation, the following situations:

- a) all or part of the Grant has not been used in accordance with the Agreement and/or approved work plans and budget,
- b) the Grant Recipient has made false or incomplete statements to obtain the Grant,
- c) the use of the Grant has not been satisfactorily accounted for,
- d) the Grant Recipient has, after having been granted an extended deadline, failed to provide the agreed reports, or has knowingly provided reports that do not reflect reality,
- e) the Grant Recipient has failed to take preventive measures against sexual exploitation, sexual abuse, or sexual harassment, to detect or respond to indications thereof, or to take corrective action when sexual exploitation, sexual abuse or sexual harassment has occurred, in accordance with article 16 of the General Conditions,
- f) financial irregularities, grave professional misconduct, or illegal activity of any form have taken place within the Grant Recipient or its cooperating partners,
- g) the Grant Recipient has failed to inform Norad of indication of financial irregularities within the Project in accordance with article 15 of the General Conditions,
- h) the Grant Recipient has changed legal personality without prior notification to Norad,
- i) the Grant Recipient is bankrupt, being wound up or is having its affairs administered by the courts, or is subject to any analogous or corresponding procedure provided for under national legislation.

18.4 The Grant Recipient shall inform Norad immediately of any circumstances that may indicate or lead to a breach of Agreement, and shall provide Norad with any information or documentation it may reasonably require in order to determine if a breach of the Agreement has occurred.

18.5 Norad may also suspend disbursements or terminate the Agreement with immediate effect if a material breach of another agreement between Norad and the Grant Recipient has been established.

19 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT

19.1 Each of the Parties may terminate the Agreement upon a written notice.

19.2 The Support Period shall end three months after the date of the notice of termination. During these three months, the Grant Recipient may only use the Grant to cover commitments that have been established before the date of the notice of termination.

19.3 If the Project cannot continue without the Grant, the Grant Recipient shall use these three months to discontinue or scale down the Project promptly and in an orderly and financially sound manner.

19.4 The Grant Recipient shall submit a final report to Norad within three months of the end of the Support Period. The final report shall meet the requirements set out in article 4 of the General Conditions and shall also include a financial report and audit report covering the period from the previous financial report until the end of the Support Period.

19.5 The Agreement will be considered terminated when the final report has been approved by Norad and any remaining funds have been repaid.

20 WAIVER AND IMMUNITIES

20.1 Nothing in the Agreement or any document related to the Agreement or Norad's acceptance of other countries' jurisdiction in disputes arising from this Agreement shall imply that Norad, the Government of Norway or any of their employees are deemed to have, expressly or implicitly waived any privileges or immunities they may have. This article 20 will not prevent arbitration or court proceedings in the legal venue of the Grant Recipient pursuant to article 25 of the General Conditions.

21 LIABILITY

21.1 Norad shall not under any circumstances or for any reason be held liable for damage, injury or loss of income sustained by the Grant Recipient or its agencies, staff or property as a direct or indirect consequence of the Project or services provided thereunder. Norad will not accept any claim for compensation or increases in payment in connection with such damage, injury or loss of income.

21.2 The Grant Recipient shall assume sole liability towards third parties, including liability for damage, injury or loss of income of any kind sustained by them as a direct or indirect consequence of the Project. The Grant Recipient shall indemnify Norad against any claim or action from the Grant Recipient's staff or third parties in relation to the Project.

22 ASSIGNMENT

22.1 The Agreement and/or the Grant may not be assigned to a third party without the prior written consent of Norad. This shall not, however, prevent transfer of parts of the Grant to a cooperating partner in accordance with article 11 of the General Conditions.

23 RECOGNITION AND PUBLICATION

23.1 The Grant Recipient shall acknowledge Norad's support to the Project in all publications and other materials issued in relation to the Project. Norad's logotype will be provided by Norad upon request. All use of Norad's logotype must be approved by Norad.

24 ENTRY INTO FORCE, DURATION AND AMENDMENT

24.1 The Agreement shall enter into force at the date of the last signature and shall remain in force until all obligations arising from it have been fulfilled, or until it is terminated in accordance with the provisions of the General Conditions. Whether the obligations of the Agreement shall be considered fulfilled, will be determined through consultations between the Parties and confirmed by Norad in a completion letter.

24.2 The Agreement may be amended. Any such amendment must be agreed upon in writing between the Parties and shall become an integral part of the Agreement.

24.3 Termination or expiry of the Agreement shall not release the Parties from any liability arising from any act or omission that has taken place prior to such termination or expiry.

25 CHOICE OF LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

25.1 The Agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with Norwegian law.

- 25.2 If any dispute arises relating to the implementation or interpretation of the Agreement, the Parties shall seek to reach an amicable solution.
- 25.3 Any dispute arising out of or in connection with the Agreement that cannot be solved amicably, shall exclusively be settled before the Norwegian courts of law with Oslo District Court as legal venue.
- 25.4 Norad may, at its own sole discretion and as an alternative to the legal venue mentioned above, choose to settle the dispute by
- a) the courts in the legal venue of the Grant Recipient, or
 - b) arbitration in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce. The arbitral tribunal shall be composed of three arbitrators. If the disputed amount is below an amount corresponding to NOK 10 000 000 the arbitral tribunal shall, however, be composed of a sole arbitrator. The seat of arbitration shall be Stockholm, Sweden, and the language to be used in the arbitral proceedings shall be English. The Parties agree that neither the arbitral proceedings nor the award shall be subject to any confidentiality.
- 25.5 The Parties agree that no other courts of law, than as set out in this article 25, shall have jurisdiction over disputes arising out of or in connection with this Agreement.

LSI

| | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Standard: | NGOs | Revision no.: | 5 |
| Procurement Provisions | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date of revision: | January 2024 |

PART III: PROCUREMENT PROVISIONS
APPLICABLE TO GRANTS FROM
THE NORWEGIAN MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
AND NORAD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| PRINCIPLES | 2 |
| 1 SOUND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT..... | 2 |
| 2 EQUAL TREATMENT AND NON-DISCRIMINATION | 2 |
| 3 ETHICS IN PROCUREMENT..... | 2 |
| 4 SUSTAINABLE PROCUREMENT..... | 3 |
| GENERAL RULES..... | 3 |
| 5 NOTORIETY..... | 3 |
| 6 CONFLICT OF INTEREST | 3 |
| 7 VALUATION OF CONTRACTS AND THRESHOLDS | 3 |
| 8 TENDER DOCUMENTS | 4 |
| 9 EVALUATION OF TENDERS..... | 4 |
| 10 GROUNDS FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF TENDERERS | 4 |
| 11 VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATIONS | 4 |
| 12 PROCUREMENT PROTOCOL..... | 5 |
| 13 CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE | 5 |
| PROCUREMENT METHODS | 6 |
| 14 OPEN TENDERING | 6 |
| 15 SIMPLIFIED TENDERING..... | 6 |
| 16 SINGLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT..... | 6 |
| 17 NEGOTIATED PROCEDURE | 7 |
| 18 EXISTING FRAMEWORK AGREEMENTS..... | 7 |

LS10


| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Standard: Procurement Provisions | NGOs | Revision no.: | 5 |
| | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date of revision: | January 2024 |

PART III: PROCUREMENT PROVISIONS

This Part III of the Agreement sets out the minimum rules and principles which shall be applied by the Grant Recipient and their sub-grantees for all procurements under the Project/Activity funded by grants received from MFA or Norad.

These minimum rules and principles shall contribute to increased value by ensuring efficient use of resources based on transparency, good business ethics and equal treatment. The provisions aim to ensure that grant recipients act with great integrity when procuring goods, works and services for projects and programme.

PRINCIPLES

1 SOUND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

- 1.1 All procurement must be justified, reasonable and compliant with sound financial management.
- 1.2 Sound financial management means that the Grant must be used in accordance with the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness:
 - a) The principle of economy refers to resources required being made available in due time, in appropriate quantity and quality and at the best price.
 - b) The principle of efficiency refers to the relationship between the resources spent and results achieved.
 - c) The principle of effectiveness refers to the degree of which the procurement process meets the intended outcome.

2 EQUAL TREATMENT AND NON-DISCRIMINATION

- 2.1 Fair competition is the foundation for sound procurement. Tenderers shall be treated equally and without discrimination throughout the procurement process.
- 2.2 Open and transparent processes are essential for promoting competition and minimising the risk of corruption. Where the design or execution of a procurement process is made with the intention of unduly favouring or disadvantaging certain tenderers the competition will be considered artificially narrowed and in breach with the principle of equal treatment and non-discrimination.

3 ETHICS IN PROCUREMENT

- 3.1 Grant recipients, as well as tenderers, suppliers, contractors, and consultants under contracts financed in whole or in part by the Grant shall observe the highest standards of ethics during the procurement and execution of contracts.
- 3.2 This shall always include:
 - a) Respecting minimum ILO standards such as the respect of working conditions and avoidance of child labour.
 - b) Respecting basic social rights and environmental aspects.



| | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Standard: | NGOs | Revision no.: | 5 |
| Procurement Provisions | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date of revision: | January 2024 |

- c) Having zero-tolerance for corruption and financial irregularities.
- d) Having zero-tolerance for inaction to sexual exploitation, sexual abuse, and sexual harassment (SEAH).

4 SUSTAINABLE PROCUREMENT

- 4.1 Grant recipients shall endeavour to consider environmentally-sound products and/or services when defining criteria and requirements for procurement.
- 4.2 The Grant recipients shall take into account universal design and the potential environmental impact of any planned procurements. Grant recipients shall, when procuring services, endeavour to limit the amount of travel needed to perform the services and when relevant and appropriate instead use virtual meetings and/or phone conferences.

GENERAL RULES

5 NOTORIETY

- 5.1 Grant recipients shall keep sufficient and appropriate records and documentation about the procurement procedure, the selection criteria set, evaluations made and award decisions.

6 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- 6.1 Grant recipients shall avoid any situation of conflict of interest. Conflict of interest refers to any situation where the impartial and objective exercise is, or may be, compromised for reasons involving family, personal life, political or national affinity, economic interest or any other connection or shared interest with another person.
- 6.2 Contracts may not be awarded to tenderers that are subject to a conflict of interest without an explicit written approval from MFA/Norad.
- 6.3 The obligation to avoid conflict of interest applies to anyone acting on behalf of the grant recipient.

7 VALUATION OF CONTRACTS AND THRESHOLDS

- 7.1 All monetary amounts referred to in this Part III are amounts excluding value-added tax (VAT).
- 7.2 To determining the applicable procurement method, grant recipients shall ensure that the estimation of the contract value is made correctly and includes all costs related to the specific contract.
- 7.3 Any form of options and extensions of the contract shall be included when estimating the contract value.
- 7.4 No contract may be split-up with the intention of avoiding the application of a formal procurement method.

LSI 

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Standard: Procurement Provisions | NGOs Grant Management Regime I and II | Revision no.: Date of revision: | 5 January 2024 |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|

8 TENDER DOCUMENTS

- 8.1 The tender documents shall furnish all information necessary for a prospective tenderer to prepare a responsive tender for the goods, works or services to be provided.
- 8.2 The tender documents shall be drafted to encourage the widest possible competition. They shall clearly define the scope of works, goods, or services to be supplied, the rights and obligations of the tenderer and the grant recipient and set out fair and non-discriminatory criteria for selecting the winning tender.

9 EVALUATION OF TENDERS

- 9.1 The evaluation of tenders shall be made in a neutral and transparent manner and in accordance with the criteria pre-determined in the call for tenders.
- 9.2 Where the value of the contract exceeds NOK 1,300,000 an evaluation committee must be set up to evaluate the received tenders. This committee must have an odd number of members with the technical and administrative capacities to give an informed opinion of the tenders.

10 GROUNDS FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF TENDERERS

- 10.1 A tenderer should be excluded from the evaluation and the award of a contract, if:
- a) They are bankrupt or is being wound up, whose affairs are being administered by court, has entered an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities or is subject of an injunction against running business by court.
 - b) They are the subject of proceedings for a declaration of bankruptcy, for an order for compulsory winding up or administration by court, or for an arrangement with creditors or of any other similar proceedings.
 - c) They have been found guilty of grave professional misconduct by any means which the grant recipient can justify.
 - d) They are not registered in a national official register or registered for declaration and payment of tax in accordance with national legislation.
 - e) They have not fulfilled obligations relating to payments of taxes or social security contributions.
 - f) They or persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control over them have been convicted for fraud, corruption, involvement in a criminal organisation or money laundering.
 - g) They or persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control over them have been convicted of an offence concerning their professional conduct.

11 VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATIONS

- 11.1 Grant recipient shall, unless deemed unnecessary, ensure that a tenderer meets formal requirements as stipulated under this Part III and national law.

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Standard: | NGOs | Revision no.: | 5 |
| Procurement Provisions | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date of revision: | January 2024 |

11.2 A tenderer should normally be required to include in its tender evidence that:

- a) It is registered by a national registrar of companies.
- b) It is registered for declaration and payment of tax in accordance with national legislation.
- c) It is free from debts regarding taxes and social security contributions.

12 PROCUREMENT PROTOCOL

12.1 For contracts with a value exceeding NOK 100,000, the grant recipient shall compile a written protocol with documentation of all assessments and decisions made during all steps of the procurement process from the planning stage until the signing of the contract.

12.2 The protocol shall as a minimum include:

- a) A description of the service, goods or works to be procured,
- b) its relevance to the project/programme,
- c) the value of the contract,
- d) the selected tender procedure,
- e) the name of all received tenderers,
- f) the selection criteria,
- g) the assessment of the tenders and tenderers,
- h) an assessment of conflict of interest, and
- i) the name of the selected tenderer.

Where simplified tendering is used the protocol shall in addition include:

- j) A justification for the selection of tenderers.

12.3 Upon request by MFA/Norad, the grant recipient shall submit the procurement protocol to MFA/Norad and grant MFA/Norad access to all relevant information and documentation related to the procurement procedure and practices applied.

12.4 Failure to provide the procurement protocol shall render expenditure ineligible for MFA/Norad funding.

13 CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE

13.1 MFA/Norad may carry out ex post controls of the grant recipients' compliance with the rules set forth in this Part III.

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Standard: | NGOs | Revision no.: | 5 |
| Procurement Provisions | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date of revision: | January 2024 |

- 13.2 Non-compliance with the minimum procurement rules and principles in this Part III shall render expenditure ineligible for MFA/Norad funding.
- 13.3 Non-compliance with the minimum procurement rules and principles in this Part III may be viewed as a material breach in accordance with General Conditions article 18.

PROCUREMENT METHODS

14 OPEN TENDERING

- 14.1 Open tendering is mandatory for procurement where the contract value exceeds NOK 1,300,000 (Norwegian kroner one million three hundred thousand).
- 14.2 Open tendering are procedures under which all firms/individuals are given sufficient notification of contract requirements, and all eligible tenderers are given an equal opportunity to submit a tender. The time-limits set must be sufficient to allow interested parties a reasonable and appropriate period to prepare and submit their tenders.
- 14.3 Open tender requires that the tendering opportunities are published to notify eligible tenderers about the contract opportunity.
- 14.4 Under open tendering the grant recipients are required to publish the invitation to tender in an appropriate national or international media outlet.
- 14.5 The grant recipient must give sufficient public notification of tendering opportunities to allow potential tenderers to determine their interest and to prepare their tenders. Open tendering may be conducted with or without prequalification proceedings.
- 14.6 Grant recipients are encouraged to apply open tendering in all procurement to increase transparency and promote competition.

15 SIMPLIFIED TENDERING

- 15.1 Simplified tendering may be used when the value of the contract is less than NOK 1,300,000 (Norwegian kroner one million three hundred thousand).
- 15.2 Simplified tendering is a procedure where a minimum of three - 3 – potential, relevant and qualified tenderers are invited to tender by direct invitation in writing. As for open tendering the time-limit set must be sufficient to allow the invited parties a reasonable and appropriate period to prepare and submit their tenders.
- 15.3 A simplified tender process shall always include the following features:
- a) A written invitation to tender which includes the tender and contract information, cf. article 8.
 - b) A procurement protocol cf. article 12.

16 SINGLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT

| | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Standard: | NGOs | Revision no.: | 5 |
| Procurement Provisions | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date of revision: | January 2024 |

- 16.1 Single source procurement may be used when the contract value is less than NOK 100,000 (Norwegian kroner one hundred thousand).
- 16.2 The contract may be awarded using any procedure established by the grant recipient while respecting the principles of sound financial management, conflict of interest, ethics in procurement, sustainable procurement, and notoriety.
- 16.3 Single source procurement may also be used where the extension of an existing contract clearly would be economic and efficient. The extended contract's maximum value shall not exceed 50 % of the original contract.
- 16.4 MFA/Norad may in exceptional cases upon written and justified request from the grant recipient, also allow single source procurement where:
- A product or services can only be provided by a single firm because of exclusive capabilities, exceptional worth for the Project or proprietary rights.
 - Standardisation with existing equipment is determined to be important and justified, and compatible goods cannot be provided by other suppliers.
 - It is a case of extreme urgency not foreseeable or attributable to the grant recipients.

The exceptions set out in points (a) and (b) shall only apply when no reasonable alternative or substitute exists, and the absence of competition is not the result of an artificial narrowing down of the parameters of the procurement.

17 NEGOTIATED PROCEDURE

- 17.1 Under a negotiated procedure the grant recipient may approach one or more suppliers to negotiate a contract directly.
- 17.2 A negotiated procedure may only be used where no tenders or no acceptable tenders are received after an open tender procedure. A negotiated procedure may then be applied without further publication of a procurement notice provided that the original contract terms are not substantially altered.
- 17.3 An unacceptable tender is a tender that significantly deviate from the procurement requirements, is not delivered within the set deadline, is delivered by a supplier who does not meet the qualification requirements, or where there is evidence of illegal bid collusion or corruption.

18 FRAMEWORK AGREEMENTS

- 18.1 Where the grant recipients enter into framework agreements¹ for procurement of goods, works or services needed the initial framework tender process must corresponds to the requirements for an open tender procedure as set out in these Procurement Provisions.

¹ a framework agreement is an agreement which establish the terms governing contracts to be awarded during a given period, in particular with regard to price and, where appropriate, the quantity envisaged.

LSI


| | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Standard: | NGOs | Revision no.: | 5 |
| Procurement Provisions | Grant Management Regime I and II | Date of revision: | January 2024 |

18.2 The grant recipients may utilize existing framework agreements or other types of pre-qualified suppliers for procurement of goods, works or services needed for an activity funded by the Grant if the initial contractual process corresponds to the requirements for an open tender procedure as set out in these Procurement Provisions. The procurement protocol documenting the initial open tender procedure and a copy of the initial contract shall be submitted to MFA/Norad upon request.

18.3 Framework agreements should not exceed five years.

LSI 

Annex A: Budget

PROJECT TITLE: Building Empowered and Resilient Communities by strengthening Livelihoods, inclusion, Education and Food Security
 NAME OF ORGANIZATION: Strømmestiftelsen
 BUDGET CURRENCY: NOK

| DIRECT PROJECT COSTS (Displaying share transferred to local partners and other levels) | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | TOTAL | Share |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | % |
| DIRECT PROJECT COSTS (HO) | 10 677 891 | 11 211 786 | 11 772 375 | 12 360 994 | 12 979 044 | 59 002 090 | 8 % |
| DIRECT PROJECT COSTS (Country Office) | 35 803 631 | 37 380 813 | 39 144 853 | 41 102 096 | 43 157 201 | 196 488 594 | 28 % |
| DIRECT PROJECT COSTS (Local partner*) | 61 644 421 | 66 825 625 | 70 959 767 | 77 200 220 | 84 619 281 | 313 249 313 | 44 % |
| FLEXIBLE FUNDING (Flexible budget line for pluspartners) | 27 031 486 | 28 829 556 | 30 469 249 | 30 165 878 | 25 688 881 | 142 184 959 | 20 % |
| TOTAL DIRECT PROJECT COSTS | 135 157 429 | 144 147 779 | 152 346 244 | 150 829 138 | 128 444 407 | 710 924 996 | |

| DIRECT PROJECT COST BY COUNTRY (required information for multi-country agreements) | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | TOTAL | Share |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | % |
| Uganda | 11 203 061 | 13 167 133 | 14 328 836 | 15 207 141 | 14 098 750 | 68 004 970 | 10 % |
| Tanzania | 12 426 766 | 13 193 097 | 13 744 987 | 15 441 893 | 14 578 581 | 69 385 324 | 10 % |
| South Sudan | 8 099 122 | 11 932 564 | 12 074 078 | 12 494 825 | 9 017 465 | 53 618 055 | 8 % |
| Mali | 27 349 892 | 20 867 746 | 19 713 116 | 21 476 540 | 17 252 466 | 101 859 760 | 14 % |
| Burkina Faso | 14 527 480 | 12 828 929 | 14 544 331 | 12 448 260 | 11 086 673 | 66 435 673 | 9 % |
| Burkina Faso | 10 820 161 | 11 187 416 | 13 156 611 | 9 182 202 | 6 327 246 | 51 821 135 | 7 % |
| Bangladesh | 6 736 448 | 10 032 478 | 11 209 713 | 9 800 315 | 10 614 333 | 58 631 937 | 8 % |
| Myanmar | 3 137 487 | 5 068 222 | 10 847 476 | 10 518 537 | 9 357 283 | 47 472 223 | 7 % |
| Global | 6 104 545 | 6 416 545 | 6 570 145 | 4 588 172 | 3 378 184 | 21 849 718 | 3 % |
| FLEXIBLE FUNDING (Flexible budget line for pluspartners) | 27 031 486 | 28 829 556 | 30 469 249 | 30 165 878 | 25 688 881 | 142 184 959 | 20 % |
| TOTAL DIRECT PROJECT COSTS | 135 157 429 | 144 147 779 | 152 346 244 | 150 829 138 | 128 444 407 | 710 924 996 | |

| DIRECT PROJECT COST BY SECTOR (or by outcome if preferred) | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | TOTAL | Share |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | % |
| Outcome 1 - Marginalised and disadvantaged children and adolescents enjoy inclusive quality education for lifelong learning | 61 529 852 | 61 143 660 | 63 633 032 | 63 053 963 | 52 409 887 | 301 770 394 | 42 % |
| Outcome 2 - Poor and vulnerable communities have improved livelihoods and resilience through enhanced income generation | 46 596 091 | 54 174 563 | 58 243 963 | 57 609 348 | 50 345 638 | 266 969 609 | 38 % |
| FLEXIBLE FUNDING (Flexible budget line for pluspartners) | 27 031 486 | 28 829 556 | 30 469 249 | 30 165 878 | 25 688 881 | 142 184 959 | 20 % |
| TOTAL DIRECT PROJECT COSTS | 135 157 429 | 144 147 779 | 152 346 244 | 150 829 138 | 128 444 407 | 710 924 996 | |

| INCOME/FINANCING PLAN DIRECT PROJECT COSTS | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | TOTAL | Share |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | % |
| Grant funding Norad | 75 364 671 | 113 776 413 | 120 286 306 | 118 733 073 | 99 876 920 | 528 037 383 | 74 % |
| Grant funding Norad Microfinance East Africa | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 100 157 435 | 14 % |
| Grant funding Norad Microfinance Sri Lanka | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 55 983 375 | 8 % |
| Funding provided by grant recipient | 28 568 884 | 30 371 366 | 32 059 938 | 32 096 065 | 28 567 486 | 151 663 739 | 21 % |
| Other income | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 % |
| TOTAL INCOME/FINANCING PLAN DIRECT PROJECT COSTS | 135 157 429 | 144 147 779 | 152 346 244 | 150 829 138 | 128 444 407 | 710 924 996 | |

| APPLICATION/GRANT AMOUNT** | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | TOTAL | Rate |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | NOK | |
| Norad contribution direct project cost | 75 364 671 | 113 776 413 | 120 286 306 | 118 733 073 | 99 876 920 | 528 037 383 | 74 % |
| Norad indirect cost contribution | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 100 157 435 | 14 % |
| Norad Microfinance East Africa contribution direct project cost | 5 275 527 | 5 275 527 | 5 275 527 | 5 275 527 | 5 275 527 | 26 377 635 | 4 % |
| Norad Microfinance East Africa indirect cost contribution | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 20 025 607 | 100 157 435 | 14 % |
| Norad Microfinance Sri Lanka contribution direct project cost | 1 401 792 | 1 401 792 | 1 401 792 | 1 401 792 | 1 401 792 | 7 008 976 | 1 % |
| Norad Microfinance Sri Lanka indirect cost contribution | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 11 198 267 | 55 983 375 | 8 % |
| TOTAL NORAD APPLICATION/GRANT AMOUNT | 114 043 743 | 121 740 762 | 128 706 347 | 127 044 318 | 106 868 305 | 598 409 545 | 84 % |

*Local partner:
 OECD definition: non-NGO organised at the national level, based and operated in a developing (ODA-eligible) country.
 Norad's interpretation of OECD's definition: "Local partners must be representative and legitimate civil society actors. This means they have to be already established organisation & representing target groups and driving forces in the country in which the intervention will be implemented. Private individuals or consultants will not be considered local partners, nor local branches by organisations with headquarters in an OECD country unless they are separate legal entities with an independent board of directors".

LSI



StrommeFoundation

Global Results Framework: Building Empowered and Resilient Communities by strengthening Livelihoods, Inclusion, Education and Food Security (BELIEF)

Country log frames for 2024-2028 with revised annual and five-year targets¹

Order in which presented:

1. SF's Global Outcomes
2. SF's Global Output Indicators
3. SF's Global Learning and Knowledge Management Indicators
4. Bangladesh
5. Myanmar
6. Nepal
7. South Sudan
8. Tanzania
9. Uganda
10. Burkina Faso
11. Mali
12. Niger

¹ In this revision only output targets were updated to reflect budget adjustments. Outcome targets will be updated after baseline collection.

LS/DF

Stramme Foundation's Global Results Framework: Building Empowered and Resilient Communities by strengthening Livelihoods, Inclusion, Education and Food Security (BELIEF)

| Results Impact | Indicators | Baseline* | Targets | | | | | Means of Verification | Frequency | Comments |
|--|--|---|---------|------|------|------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | |
| Promote social change, sustainable development, and resilience through access to inclusive quality education, increased incomes and job creation | % of targeted families cross the national poverty line | TBD | -- | -- | 20% | -- | 50% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Poverty levels will be measured in the target communities. The countries in Asia and West Africa typically use the Multi-dimensional Poverty Index (MPI) to measure the percentage of households that have crossed the poverty line. In East Africa, the Poverty Probability Index (PPI) is typically used to measure poverty in the targeted population. Targets are subject to change. |
| | % of the target population with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | TBD | -- | -- | 50% | -- | 70% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | The Food Consumption Score (FCS) will be used across all countries to measure households' food security status, as it considers not only dietary diversity and food frequency but also the relative nutritional importance of different food groups. |
| | % of communities / community mechanisms that adopt and implement disaster risk reduction strategies | TBD | -- | -- | 50% | -- | 70% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Build the resilience of the poor to reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other environmental disasters. This indicator assesses the number of communities and/or community based organisations with a functional systems for managing the risk of a disaster. |
| | % of households that gained additional, environmentally and economically sustainable sources of income | TBD | -- | -- | 35% | -- | 50% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Income diversification is among the main climate change adaptation measures. It enables households to avoid risks associated with relying only on one source of income. At the same time, meaningful income diversification needs to be economically and environmentally sustainable. |
| | % of target households that are part of community mechanisms which serve as a social safety net | TBD | -- | -- | 40% | -- | 60% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | The proportion of households that are actively involved in or benefiting from community-based mechanisms that provide a social safety net. A social safety net can include services or support systems that help individuals and families cope with various social and economic challenges, such as poverty, unemployment, illness, or other risks. |
| | % of advocacy successes achieved by community mechanisms established or strengthened as a result of SF interventions | 0% | -- | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Annually | Community mechanisms are self-help groups, small groups, savings groups or their higher level organizations (CBOs); adolescent empowerment forums and networks. Advocated issues refer to the support/services received from duty-bearers after advocacy efforts by these groups. |
| | Outcome 1 Marginalised and disadvantaged children and adolescents enjoy inclusive quality education for lifelong learning | % of children enrolled in primary school after attending an ECD centre | 76% | 80% | 85% | 90% | 95% | Programme surveys, digital monitoring tools | Annually | Children that enrol in first grade of primary school after attending Early Childhood Development Centres (ECD) supported by SF. |
| | | % of children that are learning and performing well in formal school | 79% | -- | 80% | 88% | 90% | Programme surveys, school records | Annually | Learners in a chosen grade in supported educational institutions that achieve minimum proficiency in a subject or test identified by the programme. |
| | | % of children/adolescents enrolled in formal school after completing an accelerated education programme | 80% | 92% | 92% | 92% | 92% | Digital monitoring tools | Annually | Out of school children that graduate from one of SF's accelerated education programmes and enrol in formal school the next academic year. By 2022, 94% of Speed School graduates enrolled in primary school and 58% of Speed School 2 graduates enrolled in secondary school, thus the baseline is temporarily set at 80% and subject to adjustment after baselines are collected. Targets are annual to reflect each cohort. |
| | | % of students enrolled in formal school that remain in the learning institution the following year | TBD | -- | 90% | 90% | 90% | School records, digital monitoring tools | Annually | Learners from a cohort enrolled in a given grade at a given year who are enrolled in the following school year, regardless of repetition. The targets have been set annually to reflect each cohort. |
| Outcome 2 Poor and vulnerable communities have improved livelihoods and resilience through enhanced income generation | % of adolescents achieving minimum proficiency level in reading, writing and mathematics | TBD | 87% | 87% | 87% | 87% | Programme surveys and exams | Annually | Adolescents and women with proficiency level of reading, writing and mathematics after completing one of SF's adolescent empowerment programmes or activate literacy programme. The targets have been set annually to reflect each cohort. | |
| | % of adolescents applying life skills in their daily life | TBD | 82% | 82% | 82% | 82% | Programme pre- and post assessments | Annually | Ten life skills are assessed: problems solving, decision making, analytical thinking, creative thinking, effective communication, interpersonal relationship, self awareness to know thyself, empathy, coping with mental and emotional stress, and negotiation. The targets have been set annually to reflect each cohort. | |
| | % of individuals that have started an income generating activity or expanded an existing one | 69%** | -- | 50% | 55% | 65% | 70% | Programme surveys, digital monitoring tools | Annually | Participants in one of SF's adolescent empowerment programmes (Samvad, Shonglap, Sagar Wine, Bonga, Tamadash), or community managed savings groups that start or expand an income generating activity after training. |
| | % of individuals that have gained employable skills and are in paid employment or self-employed | 71%** | -- | 60% | 65% | 68% | 70% | Programme surveys, digital monitoring tools | Annually | People who have acquired skills or training that make them eligible for employment and have found paid jobs or are engaged in self-employment. It assesses the effectiveness of skill development initiatives in preparing individuals for the job market and facilitating their transition into gainful employment. |
| | % of jobs supported that adopt environmentally friendly technologies or practices | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Target group members that start using promoted environmentally friendly practices / services / products that contribute to more sustainable production. This includes practices that minimise the usage of natural resources and toxic materials as well as the emissions of waste and pollutants. |
| | % of households having achieved increased productivity from their agricultural activities | TBD | -- | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Increasing agricultural productivity is essential for ensuring adequate nutrition of the ever-growing population; however, such changes should not be at the expense of environmental sustainability. Agricultural outputs can be tons of crops or number of animals. |
| | % of households/producer groups involved in collective marketing | TBD | -- | 10% | 30% | 40% | 45% | Programme surveys, digital monitoring tools | Annually | It assesses the level of cooperative efforts to market goods and the effectiveness of collective marketing strategies, which can help small-scale farmers or producers access larger markets, negotiate better prices, reduce transaction costs, and improve their livelihoods through collective action. |
| | % of green jobs created | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 25% | 30% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Annually | SF's definition of green jobs refers to jobs that protect and restore ecosystems and biodiversity, de-carbonise the economy, reduce energy, materials, and water consumption; minimise or avoid the generation of waste and pollution; and enable enterprises and communities to adapt to climate change. This definition includes sustainable agriculture. |

Handwritten signature/initials

| Results | Indicators | Baseline* | Targets | | | | | Total | Means of Verification | Frequency | Comments |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| CO 1 Gender Equality | % of women that participate in local decision-making bodies and processes/have leadership positions | 66%** | -- | 50% | 60% | 65% | 70% | Programme surveys, digital monitoring tools | Annually | Leadership positions in community mechanisms promoted by SF; and in different local standing committees such as education, health, family planning, social welfare, disaster management, agriculture, water management, and school management committees, among others. | |
| | % of adolescent girls and women who take part in household decision making | 90%** | -- | 75% | 80% | 85% | 90% | Programme surveys, digital monitoring tools | Annually | Female adolescents and adult women in targeted communities who actively participate in the decision-making processes related to their households. This includes having a say or influence in matters such as family finances, education, food allocation, early marriage, and other key aspects of daily life within the household. | |
| | % of children/adolescents reporting not experiencing gender-based violence the last [period of time] | TBD | -- | 10% | 30% | 50% | 70% | Programme surveys, digital monitoring tools | Annually | The proportion of children or adolescents who report that they have not encountered any instances of gender-based violence within a specified time frame. Gender-based violence includes physical, sexual, emotional, or verbal abuse, harassment, or discrimination that is perpetrated against an individual because of their gender or gender identity. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Individuals or community mechanisms that have been empowered with the knowledge, skills, and opportunities to actively engage in efforts addressing environmental issues. It reflects the impact of initiatives aimed at raising awareness, building capacity, or fostering community involvement in activities that contribute to environmental sustainability and combatting climate change. |
| CO 2 Climate and Environment | % of people enabled to take action on climate change and environment | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | Programme surveys, evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | The extent to which the target groups correctly use the desired climate change adaptation measures (such as climate-smart agriculture, fuel-efficient stoves, composting home waste, adopting rainwater harvesting systems, etc.). | |
| | % of targeted families adopt environmentally friendly practices | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | Programme surveys, partner records | Annually | The proportion of households which dispose of their solid waste in a way that does not threaten human and environmental health (which can occur, for example, from the breeding of flies and rodents or from polluting water sources). | |
| | % of families that safely dispose of their solid waste | TBD | 5% | 10% | 15% | 20% | 25% | Programme surveys, partner records | Annually | Trees planted in the course of community outreach events, with a specific view to improving local biodiversity, adapt to climate change by improving soil retention, water management or prevent flooding. The targets presented are annual and subject to change. | |
| | # of trees planted | 0 | 22 600 | 28 850 | 22 900 | 22 900 | 119 900 | Programme surveys, partner records | Annually | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO 3 Inclusion | # of persons with disabilities enrolled in SF's programmes | 4580 in 2022** | 1 983 | 2 626 | 2 777 | 2 840 | 2 298 | 7 506 | Annually | Prioritising inclusion of people with disabilities is essential for fostering genuine social progress and leaving no one behind. Initiatives will ensure that opportunities for education, employment, and social participation are extended to all members of the targeted population. SF is targeting 2% PWD of the total outreach under Norad funded interventions. | |
| | % of participants improved coping mechanisms after receiving psychosocial support | TBD | -- | 10% | 30% | 50% | 70% | 70% | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | The extent to which individuals who have received psychosocial support have experienced positive changes in their ability to cope with emotional or psychological challenges. It measures the effectiveness of psychosocial support activities in enhancing the resilience and mental well-being of participants. | |
| CO 4 Prevention of corruption | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | # of partners with Improved Partner Capacity Score | 3,25*** | 3,5 | 3,75 | 4 | 4,25 | 4,5 | 4,5 | Annually | The Partner Capacity Score is calculated from the risk assessment tool. SF will carry out this assessment at least once a year with each partner and the findings are the basis for a capacity building plan. The partner assessment, analysis of results, and estimation of the score is done jointly by SF's finance team and SF's programme team. | |
| | % of target population satisfied with their last experience of using local authorities' services | TBD | -- | -- | 45% | -- | 70% | 70% | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | It assesses the level of contentment or approval among a specific group of people who have recently interacted with local authority services. Proportion of people who report being satisfied with their most recent experience when utilizing services provided by their local authorities. | |
| | # of deviation cases | 5 in 2022** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Annually | Deviation cases apply to all malpractice and unethical behaviour, including (the list is not exhaustive): embezzlement, misuse and appropriation of funds, collusion and bribery, obstruction of justice, abuse or misuse of power, extortion, nepotism, sexual harassment, etc. | |

* Baselines and targets are defined on the basis of achieved results in the current programme period. Baselines for the proposed programme will be collected in the first quarter of 2024. After the baseline study is completed, annual and five year targets will be revised and sent to Norad.

** These figures are cumulative (2019-2022) and include interventions funded by all SF donors.

*** Partner capacity score based on the pilot to test the digital tool for partner assessment. The baseline and targets are subject to change after further analysis.

LS/18

Stremme Foundation's Global Output Indicators

Indicators with revised annual and five-year aggregate targets

| Output | Annual Targets | | | | | | | | | | | | Five-year aggregate target | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|--------|----------------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-----|
| | 2024 | | | | 2025 | | | | 2026 | | | | 2027 | | | | 2028 | | | | |
| | # Total | # Male | # Female | # PWD | # Total | # Male | # Female | # PWD | # Total | # Male | # Female | # PWD | # Total | # Male | # Female | # PWD | # Total | # Male | # Female | # PWD | |
| ECD centres operational | Number of early childhood development (ECD) centres established/supported | 37 | | | | | | | 133 | | | | 121 | | | | 90 | | | 312 | |
| | Number of children benefitting from ECD | 3 990 | 1 992 | 1 998 | 319 | 2 938 | 2 968 | 378 | 6 828 | 3 374 | 3 454 | 422 | 7 041 | 3 501 | 3 541 | 456 | 6 920 | 3 445 | 3 475 | 491 | |
| | Number of formal schools supported | 106 | | | | | | | 122 | | | | 126 | | | | 126 | | | 126 | |
| Partnerships with formal schools established | Number of children enrolled in supported formal schools | 25 870 | 13 250 | 12 620 | 543 | 14 834 | 15 106 | 607 | 35 069 | 17 460 | 17 609 | 660 | 38 780 | 19 239 | 19 542 | 735 | 40 460 | 20 078 | 20 382 | 1 020 | |
| | Number of after school child clubs | 30 | | | | | | | 114 | | | | 110 | | | | 108 | | | 322 | |
| | Number of children attending after school clubs | 960 | 336 | 624 | 34 | 2 430 | 1 048 | 1 362 | 49 | 2 680 | 1 180 | 1 510 | 57 | 2 530 | 1 132 | 1 398 | 51 | 2 450 | 1 108 | 1 342 | 46 |
| Accelerated / alternative learning centres operational | Number of accelerated / alternative education centres running (new plus continuing) | 198 | | | | | | | 295 | | | | 325 | | | | 293 | | | 1 404 | |
| | Number of learners enrolled in accelerated / alternative education centres (new plus continuing) | 4 950 | 2 475 | 2 475 | 50 | 7 605 | 3 803 | 3 803 | 77 | 7 355 | 3 678 | 3 678 | 75 | 8 105 | 4 053 | 4 053 | 82 | 7 905 | 3 653 | 3 653 | 74 |
| | Number of adolescent empowerment centres established | 246 | | | | | | | 148 | | | | 135 | | | | 78 | | | 924 | |
| Adolescent empowerment centres and forums functioning | Number of adolescents enrolled in empowerment centres | 6 144 | 1 424 | 4 720 | 68 | 7 741 | 2 010 | 5 731 | 95 | 3 454 | 1 222 | 2 232 | 76 | 3 129 | 1 124 | 2 005 | 69 | 1 800 | 634 | 1 166 | 33 |
| | Number of adolescent empowerment forums established | - | | | | | | | 239 | | | | 393 | | | | 403 | | | 556 | |
| | Number of adolescents/youth in empowerment forums | - | | | | | | | 148 | | | | 135 | | | | 78 | | | 924 | |
| Adult literacy centres established | Number of adult literacy centres running | 72 | | | | | | | 92 | | | | 92 | | | | 92 | | | 418 | |
| | Number of learners enrolled in an adult literacy centres | 1 800 | | 1 800 | 18 | 2 300 | | 23 | 2 300 | | 2 300 | 23 | 2 300 | | 2 300 | 23 | 1 750 | | 1 750 | 18 | |
| | Number of animators/teachers trained | 622 | | | | | | | 1 145 | | | | 1 144 | | | | 1 010 | | | 4 724 | |
| Supported education/training centres reinforced | Number of School Management Committees/Centre Support Teams trained | 627 | | | | | | | 828 | | | | 826 | | | | 694 | | | 3 807 | |
| | Number of education/training centres provided with learning materials | 622 | | | | | | | 901 | | | | 902 | | | | 760 | | | 3 877 | |
| | Number of community mechanisms established/supported | 1 816 | | | | | | | 2 828 | | | | 2 744 | | | | 2 147 | | | 8 278 | |
| Community mechanisms / savings groups strengthened | Number of individuals enrolled in community mechanisms | 44 696 | 6 599 | 38 097 | 1 015 | 50 436 | 7 961 | 42 475 | 1 229 | 57 401 | 9 150 | 48 251 | 1 261 | 51 517 | 8 240 | 43 277 | 1 097 | 37 034 | 3 895 | 35 139 | 450 |
| | Number of households trained on environmentally friendly practices | 1 270 | | | | | | | 16 893 | | | | 20 328 | | | | 17 914 | | | 57 618 | |
| | Number of home gardens implemented | 560 | | | | | | | 8 529 | | | | 8 221 | | | | 7 064 | | | 18 600 | |
| Formal vocational training centres running | Number of formal vocational training centres supported | 40 | | | | | | | 72 | | | | 63 | | | | 26 | | | 259 | |
| | Number of youth enrolled in a formal vocational training | 1 216 | 604 | 612 | 37 | 2 146 | 1 048 | 1 098 | 58 | 2 559 | 1 214 | 1 346 | 68 | 2 360 | 1 114 | 1 247 | 65 | 874 | 437 | 29 | |
| | Number of community mechanisms trained on advocacy | 618 | | | | | | | 1 361 | | | | 1 557 | | | | 1 518 | | | 2 026 | |
| Civil society organisations supported | Number of partners supported with Norad funds | 45 | | | | | | | 45 | | | | 45 | | | | 45 | | | 45 | |

LSM

Strømme Foundation's Global Learning and Knowledge Management Indicators

| Objectives/Indicators | Baseline | Target 2024 | | | | | Five-year target |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|
| | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | |
| 1. Strengthen digital solutions to support learning | | | | | | | |
| % of programmes have digital data collection and analysis/dashboard solutions in place | 40 % | 60 % | 80 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| 2. Implement a system for knowledge generation, analysis and sharing | | | | | | | |
| % of countries carrying out at least one annual learning and reflection meeting with partners | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| # of partnerships/collaborations with research institutions and development organisations | 3 in 2022 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 15 |
| # of high-quality research studies developed in the year | 0 | -- | 2 | 2 | 1 | -- | 5 |
| # of internal or external publications citing evidence from SF's programmes | 0 | -- | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| 3. Develop a culture of learning across the organisation | | | | | | | |
| % of staff reported feeling supported by the organisation to generate, introduce, and share learning and innovation (survey) | TBD | 50 % | 60 % | 70 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % |
| # of times that SF staff participated in events or commented on papers that contribute to the development debate and best practices | 104 in 2022 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 500 |
| LKM Strategy and Plan has enough resources, and it is implemented across the organisation | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 4. Use learning to promote innovation | | | | | | | |
| # of programme innovations implemented | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |

LS/18

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|---|---|--|----------|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Bangladesh Goal: 6,800 poor families from marginalised communities lead a dignified life and achieve socio-economic empowerment | % of targeted families cross the poverty line | | TBD | -- | | | | | 80% | 80% | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews | No major disasters affect programme implementation. The country's political situation remains stable. |
| | % of target population with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | | TBD | 10% | 40% | 40% | 60% | 60% | 80% | 80% | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews | |
| | % of gender based violence reduced in target communities | | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 20% | 30% | 30% | 35% | 35% | |
| Outcome 1: Marginalised children of target communities access inclusive and quality education | % of children enrolled in SF programs achieved grade level competency | ECD/Bridge /Child clubs | TBD | -- | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Annually | District and Upazila education departments are responsive to the programme. Favourable education policy is in place. |
| | % of graduates ECD and Bridge school children mainstreamed in formal school | ECD/Bridge schools | TBD | -- | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | Annually | |
| Output 1.1 Improved teaching and learning environment in supported formal schools | School dropout rate decreased by 50% in the target areas | | TBD | -- | 10% | 25% | 40% | 40% | 50% | 50% | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews | Formal school authorities support implementation of school activities. |
| | # of school teachers trained on child rights and child friendly education, good governance, etc. | Male Female Total | 0 | 12 18 30 | 48 72 120 | 72 108 180 | 88 132 220 | 112 168 280 | 112 168 280 | 112 168 280 | Quarterly | |
| Output 1.2 Child clubs enhance success for the slow learners to complete formal education | % of supported formal schools developed annual School Improvement Plan (SIP) | | 0 | 5% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 80% | 90% | 90% | Quarterly | |
| | # of child clubs established | | 0 | -- | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 280 | Annually | |
| Output 1.3 Children are prepared for primary education through Early Childhood Development (ECD) centres | # of lower performing learners attending child clubs | Boys Girls Total CWD | 0 | -- | 700 700 1400 | 700 700 1400 | 700 700 1400 | 700 700 1400 | 700 700 1400 | 700 700 1400 | Annually | |
| | # of ECD centres opened | Total MLE Boys Girls Total MALE children | 0 | 6 5 45 45 90 75 | 59 12 590 590 1180 180 | 72 13 720 720 1440 195 | 72 13 720 720 1440 195 | 65 12 650 650 1300 180 | 39 8 390 390 780 120 | 241 50 2395 2395 4790 750 | Annually | |
| Output 1.4 Enhanced access to formal education for out-of-school children through an accelerated education programme | # of recommendations implemented after Mother Language Education (MLE) meetings with key stakeholders | | 0 | -- | 1 | -- | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Annually | |
| | # of Bridge schools established | | 0 | -- | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Annually | |
| Outcome 2: Adolescents in target communities are socially and economically empowered | # of out-of-school children enrolled in Bridge schools | Boys Girls Total | 0 | -- | 15 15 30 | 15 15 30 | 15 15 30 | 15 15 30 | 15 15 30 | 60 60 120 | Annually | Parents and communities support girls' education. Local governments provide support to the programme and participants. |
| | % targeted adolescents have improved life skills | | TBD | -- | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Annually | |
| Output 2.1 Adolescents' life skills improved | % trained adolescents started income generating activities | | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 50% | 50% | 70% | 70% | Annually | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews |
| | % Early marriage reduce in the targeted community | | TBD | -- | 5% | 10% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 30% | Annually | |
| Output 2.2 Adolescent networks/forum strengthened | % adolescents with improved knowledge to cope with mental health issues | | TBD | -- | 30% | 50% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 80% | Annually | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews |
| | # of Shonglap centres established | Boys Centre Girls Center Total Boys Girls Total PWD Forum Peer leaders | 0 | 2 12 14 40 240 280 3 -- | 6 44 50 120 800 1000 27 16 32 | 9 53 62 180 1060 1240 35 38 76 | 9 53 62 180 1060 1240 35 38 76 | 4 53 62 180 1060 1240 12 60 120 | 4 32 36 80 600 3880 4480 7 74 148 | 30 194 224 600 3880 4480 84 74 148 | Quarterly | |
| Output 2.3 Adolescent networks/forum strengthened | % of adolescent forums formed with trained leaders | | 0 | -- | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Annually | Shonglap records, MIS |
| | % of Shonglap forums achieved at least one advocacy success | | 0 | -- | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Annually | |

LSR

Bangladesh

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|--|---|-------------------------|----------|----------------|------|------|------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Output 2.3 Adolescents' awareness is improved | % of adolescents acquired knowledge on sexual and reproductive health rights (SRHR) | | 0 | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | Shonglap records, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | # of participants received mental health care support | Boys | -- | 6 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 48 | | | | |
| | | Girls | -- | 54 | 108 | 144 | 126 | 432 | | | | |
| | | Total | -- | 60 | 120 | 160 | 140 | 480 | Shonglap records, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | PWD | -- | 3 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 27 | | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Increased capacity of community structure to claim their rights and hold local governments, the public and private sector accountable | % of community structures that received services from public/private sector and/or local governments | | TBD | 30% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 80% | SRG/CBO records, MIS | Annually | Local government departments recognise CBOs and provide different services to them. There is positive environment for CBO registration. | |
| | % of promoted CBOs are registered with government authorities | | TBD | -- | 5% | 10% | 10% | 25% | SRG/CBO records, MIS | Annually | | |
| | % of community structures have successfully achieved at least one advocated issue | | TBD | 30% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 80% | SRG/CBO records, MIS | Annually | | |
| | % of women that hold strategic positions in the formal sector/community | | TBD | 5% | 10% | 15% | 10% | 40% | SRG/CBO records, MIS | Annually | | |
| Output 3.1 Rights-holders are organized and strengthen in groups | # of community mechanisms mobilised and formed | | 360 | 94 | -- | -- | -- | 454 | CBO/SRG records, MIS | Annually | | |
| | % of group leaders trained on leadership, financial literacy, organisational development, advocacy and networking | | -- | 70 | 12 | -- | -- | 82 | | | | |
| Output 3.2 Strengthened capacity of local governments | # of local government officials trained on transparency and accountability tools, good governance etc. | | 0 | 20% | 50% | 80% | 90% | 90% | Results Report, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | % of CBO representatives that participated in the Union Parishad (UP) annual action plan | Male | 84 | 140 | 224 | 168 | 84 | 700 | Training reports, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | | Female | 36 | 60 | 96 | 72 | 36 | 300 | | | | |
| | Total | 120 | 200 | 320 | 240 | 120 | 1000 | Results reports, MIS | Quarterly | | | |
| Outcome 4: Improved livelihood and food security of target families through income generation, green jobs, and adaptation to climate change | % of targeted families increased their income by 20% from baseline | | TBD | 10% | 30% | 50% | 60% | 60% | Evaluation reports | Annually | Government departments support the programme and the political environment is stable. | |
| | % of targeted families correctly used the promoted climate resilience adaptation measures | | TBD | 10% | 30% | 50% | 60% | 60% | Evaluation reports | Annually | | |
| | % of targeted households started income generating activities or expanded an existing one | | TBD | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 80% | Evaluation reports | Annually | | |
| | % TVET graduates are employed/self-employed in a relevant job 6 months after finalising training | | TBD | 30% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 80% | TVET reports, MIS | Annually | | |
| Output 4.1 Improved marketing skills of target families | % of jobs adopted environment friendly technologies or practices | | TBD | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 70% | Partner reports, MIS | Annually | | |
| | % of targeted families received technical training to start or expand green IGAs | | 0 | 30% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 90% | SRG record, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | % of targeted households engaged in collective marketing of IGA products | | 0 | 5% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 80% | SRG record, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | # of commercial village established by strengthening value chain activities | | 0 | -- | 22 | 25 | 20 | 82 | SRG/CBO record, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| Output 4.2 Families adapt to climate change and improve their resilience | % of targeted families who follow the promoted environment friendly practices | | 0 | 5% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 70% | SRG record, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | % of families that prepared compost with household waste | Fuel-efficient cooking | 0 | 30% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 70% | SRG record, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | | Agricultural activities | 0 | 5% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 70% | SRG record, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | | | 0 | 10% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 80% | SRG record, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| Output 4.3 Young people with enhanced skills to find gainful employment | # of youth enrolled in vocational training courses | | 95 | 305 | 350 | 270 | 100 | 1120 | Annual report, MIS, TVET profile | Quarterly | Youth are willing to participate in the TVET program and local authorities support the intervention. | |
| | % of TVET graduates that earn a minimum monthly income of BDT 7000/- | Male | 95 | 305 | 350 | 270 | 100 | 1120 | | | | |
| | | Female | 95 | 305 | 350 | 270 | 100 | 1120 | | | | |
| | | Total | 190 | 610 | 700 | 540 | 200 | 2240 | | | | |
| | PWD | 2 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 22 | Annual report, MIS, TVET profile | Quarterly | | | |

LSR

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|---|--|----------------|----------|----------------|------|-------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Myanmar Goal: 2,000 marginalised families from Ayeeyarwaddy Region and Southern Shan State improve their resilience and achieve socio-economic empowerment with dignity | % of targeted families cross the national poverty line | | TBD | -- | 15 % | 25 % | 10 % | 50 % | Evaluation reports / surveys | Baseline, mid-term and final reviews | Macroeconomic, political and climate stability. Targeted households are not displaced due to conflict or political disaster. | |
| | % of the population of the targeted families with acceptable food consumption score (FCS) | | TBD | -- | 15 % | 20 % | 15 % | 50 % | Evaluation reports / surveys | Baseline, mid-term and final reviews | | |
| | % of CBOs adopt and implement disaster risk reduction strategies | | TBD | -- | 10 % | 15 % | -- | 50 % | Evaluation reports / surveys | Baseline, mid-term and final reviews | | |
| | % of women who are in leadership positions in community groups including CBOs, committees and small groups | | TBD | 5 % | 10 % | 20 % | 15 % | 50 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| Outcome 1: Children in target communities enjoy access to education in a child friendly environment | % of children enrolled in formal school after attending ECD centres | | TBD | -- | 5 % | 20 % | 25 % | 50 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | % of issues related to education that are addressed by the Education Committees | | TBD | 5 % | 10 % | 15 % | -- | 50 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | % of issues related to child rights addressed by the Child Protection Committees | | TBD | 5 % | 10 % | 20 % | -- | 50 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| Output 1.1 Improved learning environment in supported Early Childhood Development (ECD) centres | # of ECD centers established | | 0 | -- | 5 | 10 | -- | 20 | Partner reports | Quarterly | Restrictions are not placed on Partners to establish ECD centers. | |
| | Boys | | -- | 45 | 75 | -- | 165 | | | | | |
| | Girls | | -- | 55 | 125 | -- | 235 | | | | | |
| | Total | | 0 | -- | 100 | 200 | -- | 400 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| Output 1.2 Enhanced support to caregivers and parents | # of ECD caregivers trained in child friendly tools | | 0 | 10 | 20 | 10 | -- | 40 | Training reports | Quarterly | Local authorities allow the formation of child protection groups. | |
| | # of child protection committees are formed | | 0 | 5 | 10 | -- | 20 | CBO record book | Quarterly | | | |
| | Male | | 50 | 100 | 250 | 550 | | | | | | |
| | Female | | 100 | 250 | 750 | 1 450 | | | | | | |
| Outcome 2: Adolescents become socio-economically empowered to exercise their rights | # of parents trained on child protection | | 0 | 150 | 350 | 1 000 | -- | 2 000 | Training reports | Quarterly | Local authorities allow the establishment of adolescent empowerment centers. | |
| | % of adolescents have improved life skills | | TBD | -- | 50 % | 25 % | -- | 75 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | % of adolescents involved in household decision making | | TBD | -- | 20 % | 30 % | -- | 50 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | % of adolescents engaged in economic activities | | TBD | -- | 15 % | 15 % | -- | 30 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| Output 2.1 Adolescent's life skills improved | # of Sagar Wine centres established | | 0 | -- | 10 | 10 | -- | 20 | Partner reports | Quarterly | Restrictions are not in place that limit partner and center activities. | |
| | Male | | -- | 75 | 75 | -- | 150 | | | | | |
| | Female | | -- | 175 | 175 | -- | 350 | | | | | |
| | Total | | 0 | -- | 250 | 250 | -- | 500 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| Output 2.2 Forums are established and strengthened | % adolescents that received IGA training | | 0 | -- | 3 | 3 | -- | 6 | Training reports | Quarterly | Economic situation and labor sector are stable. | |
| | # of forums established | | 0 | -- | 15 | 15 | -- | 30 % | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | Male | | -- | 63 | 63 | -- | 126 | | | | | |
| | Female | | -- | 237 | 237 | -- | 474 | | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Families' food security and resilience are increased through economic activities | % of participants in forums | | 0 | -- | 300 | 300 | -- | 600 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | % of targeted families engaged in alternative livelihood activities | | TBD | 5 % | 10 % | 25 % | 10 % | 50 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | % of families' annual income increased by 20% | | TBD | -- | 10 % | 15 % | 10 % | 40 % | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | % of families that are part of small groups that serve as a social safety nets | | TBD | -- | 20 % | 20 % | 10 % | 50 % | Small group records | Annually | | |
| Output 3.1 Capacity of families, small groups and CBOs strengthened | % of CBOs that have successfully achieved at least one advocated issue | | TBD | -- | 10 % | 10 % | 20 % | 50 % | CBO records | Annually | | |
| | # of community mechanisms formed | | 0 | 20 | 80 | 200 | -- | 200 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | % of families receive financial services from small groups | | 0 | -- | 5 | 25 | -- | 30 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | # of small group members received IGA training | | 0 | 20 | 700 | 600 | -- | 1 500 | Training reports | Quarterly | | |

LS/18

Myanmar

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|---|---|----------------|----------|----------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Output 3.2 Families engaged in on/off farm activities | % of targeted families have a home garden | | 0 | 5% | 10% | 20% | 15% | -- | 50% | Partner reports | Quarterly | General economic situation remains stable. |
| | % of targeted families adopting environmentally friendly techniques and practices | | 0 | -- | 5% | 20% | 25% | -- | 50% | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| Output 3.3 Improved skills of young people | # of Youth groups established | | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 8 | -- | 30 | Partner reports | Quarterly | The economic situation is stable and there are no restrictions in place to establish youth groups. |
| | | Male | 16 | 25 | 75 | 40 | -- | 156 | | | | |
| | Female | 24 | 75 | 225 | 120 | -- | 444 | | | | | |
| | Total | 40 | 100 | 300 | 160 | -- | 600 | | | | | |
| | PWD | -- | 2 | 6 | 4 | -- | 12 | | | | | |
| | % of youth that received IGA training | | 0 | -- | 10% | 20% | 20% | -- | 50% | Training reports | Quarterly | |

LSR

Nepal

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/ Risks |
|--|---|----------------|----------|----------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Nepal Goal: 10,000 poor and marginalized families have a dignified life | % of targeted families cross the national poverty line (multi-dimensional poverty line) | | TBD | -- | -- | 40% | 60% | 80% | 80% | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Local governments recognise the role of community based organisations (CBOs) and establish partnership with them despite of political instability. | |
| | % of targeted families report they experience less discrimination in their community | | TBD | -- | -- | 50% | 60% | 75% | 75% | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | | |
| | % of the targeted families with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 40% | 40% | 50% | Annually | | |
| | % of target households are part of Self-help groups that serve as a social safety net | | TBD | -- | -- | 20% | 40% | 40% | 60% | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | | |
| Outcome 1: Children and adolescents from poor and marginalized families have access to inclusive, equitable, and quality education | % of SF target schools have a child friendly school environment | | 0 | -- | 35% | 30% | 50% | 70% | 70% | Annually | Local governments are supportive and local public schools and communities are committed to improve the quality of education. | |
| | % of school going children of targeted families are learning and performing well in formal schools | | TBD | -- | 50% | 60% | 70% | 90% | 90% | Annually | | |
| | % of children are enrolled in school after attending ECD centers | | 0 | -- | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | Annually | | |
| | # of targeted schools include activities on mental health and psychosocial support in the SIP | | 0 | -- | 16 | 15 | -- | -- | 31 | Quarterly | The targeted schools are willing to adopt the approach. | |
| Output 1.1 Learning environment in supported schools is strengthened | % of targeted schools practice the STEAM approach | | 0 | -- | 30% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 70% | Quarterly | | |
| | % of the targeted SMCs demonstrate engagement of parents/ community members in school activities | | 0 | -- | 15% | 35% | 50% | 50% | 75% | Quarterly | Schools/ local governments collaborate to implement the activity. | |
| | # of targeted schools supported to create a child friendly environment | | 0 | 6 | 12 | 13 | -- | -- | 31 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of ECD centres strengthened in SF working areas | | 0 | 6 | 20 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | Quarterly | | |
| Output 1.3 Children from targeted families graduate from early childhood care centers (ECD) | Boys | | | 72 | 240 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 1 242 | Quarterly | | |
| | Girls | | | 78 | 260 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 1 358 | Quarterly | | |
| | Total | | 0 | 150 | 500 | 650 | 650 | 650 | 2 600 | Quarterly | | |
| | CWD | | | 5 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 100 | Quarterly | | |
| Outcome 2: Adolescents are aware of their rights and empowered to fight against harmful practices | % of targeted adolescents have improved life skills | | TBD | -- | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | Annually | The three levels of government are commitment to address exclusion and continue to focus on adolescents and youths (AY). | |
| | % of targeted adolescents participate in community and civic activities | | TBD | 10% | 30% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |
| | % targeted adolescents report a reduction in cases of discrimination (early marriage and gender-based violence) | | TBD | -- | 30% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 80% | Annually | | |
| | % of targeted adolescents demonstrate improved self-esteem | | TBD | -- | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Annually | | |
| Output 2.1 SAMVAD centers, forums and networks are strengthened | # of SAMVAD centres established | | 0 | 180 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 360 | Quarterly | | |
| | Male | | | 900 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 800 | Quarterly | | |
| | Female | | | 3 600 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7 200 | Quarterly | | |
| | Total | | 0 | 4 500 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 9 000 | Quarterly | | |
| Output 2.2 Adolescents and youths are prepared to claim their rights | PwDs | | | 20 | 20 | -- | -- | -- | 40 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of SAMVAD graduate forums established | | | -- | 135 | 135 | -- | -- | 270 | Quarterly | | |
| | % of SAMVAD networks organize at least one evidence-based advocacy event to address local issues | | 0 | -- | 30% | 50% | 90% | 90% | 90% | Quarterly | | |
| | % of SAMVAD networks have their development plans and annual action plan | | 0 | -- | 30% | 60% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Quarterly | | |
| Outcome 3: Increased capacity of community mechanisms to claim their rights and operate autonomously | % of promoted community structures have successfully achieved at least one advocated issue | | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 40% | 60% | 60% | Annually | The favorable norms and regulations from local governments towards institutionalising the community structures. | |
| | % community structures received financial and technical services from local governments | | TBD | -- | 10% | 20% | 40% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |
| | % of targeted population improved their coping strategies after receiving psychosocial support | | TBD | -- | 10% | 30% | 50% | 70% | 70% | Annually | | |
| | % of CBOs implements climate change and Disaster Risk Reduction strategies | | TBD | -- | -- | 20% | 50% | 70% | 70% | Annually | | |
| Output 3.1 Target families are organised in community based structures | % community structures registered with government authorities/agencies | | 0 | -- | -- | 20% | 40% | 80% | 80% | Quarterly | | |
| | # of SHGs formed and strengthened | | 0 | 200 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 400 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of community structures able to take action on climate change and environment | | 0 | -- | 80 | 120 | 80 | 80 | 280 | Quarterly | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

LS/157

Nepal

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/ Risks |
|--|---|-------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Output 3.2: Local governments deliver services and become accountable | % of community structures' representatives participated in the local government planning events | | 0 | -- | 40 % | 70 % | 80 % | 80 % | Meeting minutes of the events | Annually | | |
| | # of government officials are trained in RBA, transparency and accountability process | Male Female Total | 0 | -- | 65 55 120 | 65 55 120 | -- -- -- | 130 110 240 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | # of trained Community based Psychosocial Support Workers (CPSWs) mobilised | Male Female Total | 0 | -- | 6 26 32 | -- -- -- | -- -- -- | 6 26 32 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| Output 3.3 Community-based mental health and psychosocial support mechanisms established | # of individuals with mental health problems in targeted communities are contacted by trained CPSWs | Male Female Total | 0 | -- | 140 200 340 | 90 170 260 | 50 130 180 | 20 60 80 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | % of targeted families have increased their annual average income from baseline | | TBD | -- | TBD | 20 % | 40 % | 60 % | Survey report on income | Annually | The areas do not have climate disasters. Stable market conditions exists for trained participants and marketing of products. | |
| | % of producer groups (PGs) are involved in collectively marketing | | TBD | -- | -- | 20 % | 50 % | 75 % | Meeting minutes of the PGs | Annually | Political stability and a peaceful environment. | |
| Output 4.1 Families have improved food security and economic resilience | % TVET graduates are employed/self-employed in a relevant jobs | | TBD | -- | -- | 75 % | 75 % | 75 % | TVET tracking sheets | Annually | | |
| | # of targeted families establish home gardens | | 0 | -- | 1 800 | 3 600 | 1 350 | 6 750 | Family profile | Quarterly | | |
| | # of targeted families adopt environmentally-friendly agricultural technologies/ practices | | 0 | -- | 1 500 | 2 500 | 2 500 | 8 000 | Family profile | Quarterly | | |
| Output 4.2 Producer groups (PGs) have increased access to markets | # of targeted families received technical training to start or expand an IGA | | 0 | -- | 1 800 | 1 800 | 1 800 | 5 400 | Family profile | Quarterly | | |
| | # of targeted families receive loans from SHGs/ MFIs to start the IGAs or enterprises | | 0 | -- | 450 | 900 | 1 800 | 2 250 | SHG record books Family records | Quarterly | | |
| | # of targeted family members involved in commodity based producer groups | | 0 | -- | -- | 2 250 | 1 875 | 4 125 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| Output 4.3 Young people's vocational skills strengthened to find gainful employment | # of producer groups registered at respective authority/ line agencies | | 0 | -- | -- | 30 | 25 | 55 | Registration certificate | Quarterly | | |
| | # of youth enrolled in vocational training courses | Male Female Total | 0 | -- | 35 40 75 | 40 22 62 | 40 23 63 | 115 85 200 | TVET tracking report | Quarterly | | |
| | # of youth received skill test certificate from national skill testing Board/ CTEVT (NISTB) | PWD | 0 | -- | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | Skill test certificate | Quarterly | | |
| | | | 0 | -- | -- | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | | | | |

LSR

South Sudan

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks | |
|---|--|-----------------|----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|--|-----------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | | |
| South Sudan Goal: Promote social change and sustainable development through access to quality education and increased income generation | % of targeted families cross the national poverty line | | TBD | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 50% | Progress out of poverty tool | Annually | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. | |
| | % of CBO / Community Structures / Communities adopt and implement disaster risk reduction strategies | | TBD | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 70% | Resilience index | Annually | | |
| | % of the target population with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | | TBD | 40% | 50% | 55% | 60% | 65% | 65% | Household survey | Annually | | |
| | # of advocacy successes achieved through community mechanisms after receiving psychosocial support | | 0 | -- | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 56 | Partner records | | Quarterly |
| | % of programme participants with improved coping mechanisms | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Partner records | | Annually |
| | % of pupils in grade III scoring above 50% in a national exam of language/ literacy and numeracy/ math | | TBD | 20% | 25% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 50% | Early grade reading and numeracy assessment | Annually | | |
| | % of pupils in supported schools that remain in the learning institution the following year | | TBD | 70% | 73% | 75% | 80% | 85% | 85% | School enrollment register | Annually | | |
| | % of supported schools have child friendly school environment | | TBD | 50% | 55% | 60% | 65% | 70% | 70% | School records | Annually | | |
| | % of children enrolled in formal school after attending ECD centres | | TBD | 70% | 73% | 75% | 78% | 80% | 80% | School enrollment register | Annually | | |
| | # of supported ECD centers and primary schools | | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | Partner records | | Quarterly |
| Output 1.1 Children are enrolled in supported Early Childhood Development (ECD) centers and primary schools | Male | | 1 000 | 1 100 | 1 210 | 1 331 | 1 464 | 1 628 | 1 928 | School Enrollment registers | Quarterly | Community and school management support. | |
| | Female | | 1 000 | 1 100 | 1 210 | 1 331 | 1 464 | 1 628 | 1 928 | | | | |
| | Total | 0 | 2 000 | 2 200 | 2 420 | 2 662 | 2 928 | 3 856 | 3 856 | | | | |
| | CWD | | 100 | 110 | 121 | 133 | 146 | 193 | 193 | | | | |
| | Males | | 525 | 578 | 635 | 689 | 769 | 1 012 | 1 012 | | | | |
| | Females | 0 | 525 | 578 | 635 | 689 | 769 | 1 012 | 1 012 | | | | |
| Total | | 1 050 | 1 155 | 1 271 | 1 398 | 1 537 | 2 025 | 2 025 | Enrollment registers | Quarterly | | | |
| CWD | | 53 | 58 | 64 | 70 | 77 | 101 | 101 | | | | | |
| | 0 | -- | 70 | 70 | -- | -- | -- | 140 | | | | | |
| Output 1.2 Improved Inclusive learning environment | # of teachers and caregivers trained in inclusive soft skills | Primary Schools | 0 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 112 | School records | Quarterly | Cooperation from teachers and care givers to participate in the training. | |
| | # of classrooms renovated in supported primary schools | ECDs | -- | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 56 | Training reports | Quarterly | | |
| | # of inclusive toilet stances constructed | Primary Schools | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | # of SF supported schools developing school improvement plans | ECDs | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 24 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| Output 1.3 Increased parent and community support | # of parenting clubs established | | 0 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | School records | Quarterly | Support from parents and community members. | |
| | # of school gardens established | | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| Outcome 2.1: Adolescent girls confident about their future, equipped with life, literacy and green skills | % of targeted adolescents have improved life skills | | TBD | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | AEP participant survey | Annually | Parents and the community support adolescents. | |
| | % of adolescents attaining basic literacy and numeracy skills | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | AEP participant survey | Annually | | |
| | % of adolescents reporting not experiencing gender-based violence the last 3 months | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 80% | AEP participant survey | Annually | | |
| | # of adolescents enrolling in school after participating in Bonga program | | 0 | -- | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 210 | Partner records | Annually | | |
| Output 2.1.1 Bonga centers established and are operational | # of Bonga centers established | | 0 | 10 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 7 | 71 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | Male | | 75 | 143 | 143 | 120 | 53 | 533 | Center enrollment registers | Quarterly | | | |
| | Female | 0 | 175 | 333 | 280 | 323 | 1 243 | 1 243 | | | | | |
| | Total | | 250 | 475 | 475 | 400 | 1 775 | 1 775 | | | | | |
| | PWD | | 13 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 9 | 89 | | | | | |
| | 0 | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 60% | | | | | |

LSR

South Sudan

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|--|---|----------------|----------|----------------|------|------|------|-------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Output 2.1.2 Bonga graduate forums established | # of forums established | None | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Partner records | Annually | Cooperation from adolescents. |
| | # of participants in forums | Male | | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 144 | Forum enrollment registers | Annually | |
| | | Female | | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 336 | | | |
| | | Total | | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 480 | | | |
| Outcome 2.2 Increased support to learners to remain in formal school (P4 - P6) | % of Bonga in school participants with improved learning outcomes | PWD | TBD | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 24 | School records | Annually | |
| | | Total | TBD | 30% | 35% | 40% | 45% | 50% | 50% | | | |
| | | Male | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 48 | 48 | 36 | 36 | 168 | | | | |
| Output 2.2.1 Bonga in School clubs established | # of pupils attending Bonga in school clubs | Male | 0 | 112 | 112 | 84 | 84 | 392 | Child Club records | Quarterly | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 160 | 160 | 120 | 120 | 560 | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | 272 | 272 | 204 | 204 | 952 | | | | |
| | | CWD | 0 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 28 | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Vulnerable and poor people in South Sudan with increased food security and enhanced income generation opportunities | % of targeted households with increased incomes | TBD | TBD | 40% | 45% | 50% | 50% | 55% | Household survey | Annually | Commitment from stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. | |
| | | Total | TBD | 40% | 50% | 60% | 65% | 70% | | | | |
| | | Male | TBD | 70% | 75% | 78% | 80% | 80% | | | | |
| | | Female | TBD | 70% | 75% | 78% | 80% | 80% | | | | |
| Output 3.1 Community Managed Savings groups (CMSGs) promoted | # of households having achieved increased productivity from their agricultural activity | None | 0 | 81 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 567 | Digital tools, MIS | Quarterly | |
| | | Male | 0 | 729 | 1458 | 1458 | 1458 | 5103 | | | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 1701 | 3402 | 3402 | 3402 | 11907 | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | 2430 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 17010 | | | | |
| Output 3.2 Savings and Producer groups (SPGs) increase food production | # of households transformed into SPGs | PWD | 0 | 122 | 243 | 243 | 243 | 243 | 851 | Partner records | Quarterly | |
| | | Total | 0 | 65 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 454 | | | | |
| | | Male | 0 | 583 | 1166 | 1166 | 1166 | 4082 | | | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 1361 | 2722 | 2722 | 2722 | 9526 | | | | |
| Outcome 4: Youth empowered with skills to find gainful employment | # of SPG members receiving climate smart agriculture training | Total | 0 | 1944 | 3888 | 3888 | 3888 | 3888 | 13608 | Training reports | Quarterly | |
| | | Male | 0 | 58 | 117 | 117 | 117 | 408 | | | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 60% | 60% | 60% | 60% | 60% | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | 60% | 60% | 60% | 60% | 60% | | | | |
| Output 4.1 Youth empowered with vocational skills | % of community based vocational education training centers supported | PWD | 0 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 66 | Partner records | Quarterly | Youth willing to participate and complete the training program. | |
| | | Total | 0 | 12 | 24 | 21 | 81 | | | | | |
| | | Male | 0 | 167 | 328 | 278 | 1099 | | | | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 167 | 328 | 278 | 1099 | | | | | |
| Outcome 4: Youth empowered with skills to find gainful employment | # of graduates passing certification test | Total | 0 | 333 | 655 | 555 | 555 | 2198 | Enrollment registers | Quarterly | | |
| | | Male | 0 | 10 | 20 | 17 | 66 | | | | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | | | |

Tanzania

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks | |
|--|---|--|----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|----------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | | | | | 2028 |
| Tanzania Goal: Promote social change and sustainable development through access to quality education and increased income generation | % of targeted families cross the national poverty line | TBD | TBD | 10% | 15% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 40% | Annually | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. | |
| | % of CBO / Community Structures / Communities adopt and implement disaster risk reduction strategies | TBD | TBD | 30% | 60% | 70% | 75% | 80% | 80% | Annually | | |
| | % of the target population with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | TBD | TBD | 70% | 70% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | Annually | | |
| | # of advocacy successes achieved through community accountability forums | 0 | 0 | -- | 18 | 24 | 30 | 30 | 102 | Quarterly | | |
| | % of programme participants with improved coping mechanisms after receiving psychosocial support | TBD | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Quarterly | | |
| | % of students in grade III scoring above 60% in a national exam of language/ literacy and numeracy/ math | TBD | TBD | 30% | 40% | 50% | 55% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |
| | % of pupils in supported schools that remain in the learning institution the following year | TBD | TBD | 85% | 90% | 92% | 95% | 98% | 98% | Annually | | |
| | % of supported schools have child friendly school environment | TBD | TBD | 50% | 60% | 70% | 75% | 80% | 80% | Annually | | |
| | % of children enrolled in formal school after attending ECD centres | TBD | TBD | 85% | 88% | 90% | 95% | 98% | 98% | Annually | | |
| | # of supported ECD and primary schools | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | Quarterly | | |
| Output 1.1: Children are enrolled in supported Early Childhood Development (ECD) centers and primary schools | Boys | | 2 800 | 3 080 | 3 388 | 3 727 | 4 099 | 5 399 | Enrollment registers | | | |
| | Girls | 0 | 2 800 | 3 080 | 3 388 | 3 727 | 4 099 | 5 399 | | | | |
| | Total | 0 | 5 600 | 6 160 | 6 776 | 7 454 | 8 199 | 10 798 | | | | |
| | CWD | | 168 | 185 | 203 | 224 | 246 | 324 | | | | |
| | Boys | | 1 050 | 1 155 | 1 271 | 1 398 | 1 537 | 2 025 | | | | |
| | Girls | 0 | 1 050 | 1 155 | 1 271 | 1 398 | 1 537 | 2 025 | | | | |
| | Total | 0 | 2 100 | 2 310 | 2 541 | 2 795 | 3 075 | 4 049 | | | | |
| | CWD | | 230 | 253 | 279 | 307 | 337 | 421 | | | | |
| | # of children with disabilities receiving assistive devices | 0 | 0 | -- | 210 | 210 | -- | -- | | 420 | Quarterly | |
| | # of teachers and caregivers trained in inclusive soft skills | 0 | 0 | -- | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | | 336 | Quarterly | |
| Output 1.2: Improved inclusive learning environment | # of classrooms renovated | 0 | 0 | -- | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 112 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of inclusive toilet stances constructed | 0 | 0 | -- | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 24 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of SF supported schools developing school improvement plans | 0 | 0 | -- | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 18 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of parenting clubs established | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of school gardens established | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | Quarterly | | |
| | # of targeted adolescents have improved life skills | TBD | TBD | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | Annually | | |
| | # of adolescents attaining basic literacy and numeracy skills | TBD | TBD | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Annually | | |
| | # of adolescents reporting not experiencing gender-based violence the last 3 months | TBD | TBD | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | Annually | | |
| | # of adolescents enrolling in school after participating in Bonga programmes | TBD | TBD | -- | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 360 | Annually | | |
| | Output 2.1: Adolescent girls confident about their future, equipped with life, literacy and green and blue skills | # of Bonga centres established | 0 | 0 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 15 | 107 | Annually | |
| Male | | | 194 | 186 | 178 | 186 | 122 | 867 | Center enrollment registers | | | |
| Female | | 0 | 454 | 435 | 416 | 435 | 284 | 2 022 | | | | |
| Total | | 0 | 648 | 621 | 594 | 621 | 405 | 2 889 | | | | |
| PWD | | | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 87 | | | | |
| # of Bonga participants receiving psychosocial support | | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | | 60% | Annually | |
| Output 2.1.1 Bonga centers established and are operational | | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | | 60% | 60% | Annually |
| | | # of targeted adolescents receiving literacy and numeracy skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | | 60% | 60% | Annually |
| | | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | | 60% | 60% | Annually |
| | | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | | 60% | 60% | Annually |
| | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | | 60% | Annually | |
| | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |
| | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |
| | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |
| | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |
| | # of targeted adolescents receiving life skills | 0 | 0 | 20% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 60% | Annually | | |

LS10

Tanzania

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|--|---|---|----------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---|
| Outcome 2.2 Increased support to learners to remain in formal school (P4-9F) | % of Bonga in school participants with improved learning outcomes | % of Bonga in school participants that remain in school the following year | TBD | 40% | 50% | 60% | 65% | 70% | 70% | Partner records | Annually | Cooperation from adolescents. |
| | | | TBD | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | School enrollment registers | Annually | |
| | | | TBD | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | Partner records | Annually | |
| Output 2.1.2 Bonga graduate forums established | # of forums established | # of participants in forums | None | -- | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 33 | Partner records | Annually | Cooperation from adolescents. |
| | | | Male | -- | 81 | 65 | 57 | 65 | 267 | Forum enrollment registers | Annually | |
| | | | Female | -- | 189 | 151 | 132 | 151 | 624 | | | |
| Total | -- | 270 | 216 | 189 | 216 | 891 | | | | | | |
| Output 2.2.1 Bonga in School clubs established | # of students attending Bonga in school clubs | # of Bonga clubs in schools | None | 0 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 51 | Partner records | Annually | School management support. |
| | | | Male | 120 | 132 | 132 | 108 | 120 | 612 | Club records | Quarterly | |
| | | | Female | 280 | 308 | 308 | 252 | 280 | 1,428 | | | |
| Total | 400 | 440 | 440 | 350 | 400 | 2,040 | | | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Vulnerable and poor people in Tanzania with improved quality of life through increased income generation and green jobs | % of targeted households with increased incomes | % of households who have in the past 12 months correctly used the promoted climate change adaptation measures | TBD | 50% | 60% | 65% | 70% | 75% | 75% | Household survey | Annually | Commitment from stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. |
| | | | TBD | 75% | 80% | 85% | 90% | 95% | 95% | Household survey | Annually | |
| | | | TBD | 50% | 60% | 70% | 75% | 80% | 80% | Household survey | Annually | |
| Output 3.1 Community Managed Savings groups (CMSGs) promoted | # of CMSGs formed | # of CMSGs clients reached | None | 250 | 196 | 229 | 195 | 34 | 904 | Digital tools, MIS | Quarterly | Digital tools, MIS |
| | | | Male | 1,875 | 1,470 | 1,718 | 1,463 | 306 | 6,831 | Digital tools, MIS | Quarterly | |
| | | | Female | 4,375 | 3,430 | 4,008 | 3,413 | 714 | 15,939 | | | |
| Total | 6,250 | 4,900 | 5,725 | 4,875 | 1,020 | 22,770 | | | | | | |
| PWD | 188 | 147 | 172 | 146 | 31 | 683 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 75 | 78 | 92 | 78 | 323 | | | | | | | |
| Output 3.2 Savings and Producer groups (SPGs) Increase food production | # of CMSGs transformed into SPGs | # of SPG members with increased access land for production | 0 | -- | 53 | 60 | 120 | 117 | 350 | Partner records | Quarterly | Partner records |
| | | | 0 | -- | 293 | 331 | 652 | 646 | 1,932 | Partner records | Quarterly | |
| | | | 0 | -- | 366 | 414 | 828 | 807 | 2,415 | | | |
| Female | -- | 853 | 966 | 1,932 | 1,884 | 5,635 | | | | | | |
| Total | -- | 1,219 | 1,380 | 2,760 | 2,691 | 8,050 | | | | | | |
| PWD | -- | 37 | 41 | 83 | 81 | 242 | | | | | | |
| Outcome 4: Youth empowered with skills to find gainful employment | % of CVET graduates are employed/self-employed in a relevant job 6 months after completing the training | % of youth increased their income (per month or per year on average) | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Youth survey | Annually | Youth willing to participate and complete the training program. |
| | | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Youth survey | Annually | |
| | | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Youth survey | Annually | |
| Output 4.1 Youth empowered with vocational skills | # of community based vocational education training centers supported | # youth participating in community based vocational education training | 0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 40 | Partner records | Quarterly | Youth willing to participate and complete the training program. |
| | | | Male | 81 | 95 | 122 | 149 | 95 | 540 | Enrollment registers | Quarterly | |
| | | | Female | 81 | 95 | 122 | 149 | 95 | 540 | | | |
| Total | 162 | 189 | 243 | 297 | 189 | 1,080 | | | | | | |
| PWD | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 32 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | | | | | | |
| 0 | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | 75% | | | | | | |

LSI


Uganda

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks | |
|--|--|-----------------|----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | | |
| Uganda Goal: Promote social change and sustainable development through access to quality education and increased income generation | % of targeted households that cross the national poverty line | | TBD | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 50% | Progress out of poverty tool | Annually | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. | |
| | % of CBO / Community Structures / Communities adopt and implement disaster risk reduction strategies | | TBD | 30% | 60% | 70% | 75% | 80% | 80% | Resilience Index | Annually | | |
| | % of the target population with acceptable food consumption Score (FCS) | | TBD | -- | -- | 75% | -- | 75% | 75% | Evaluation reports | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews | | |
| | # of advocacy successes achieved through community mechanisms | | 0 | -- | 18 | 24 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 102 | Partner records | | Quarterly |
| Outcome 1: Marginalised and disadvantaged children in Uganda enjoying inclusive quality education for lifelong learning | % of programme participants with improved coping mechanisms after receiving psychosocial support | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | Partner records | Annually | Community support and school involvement. | |
| | % of pupils in grade III scoring above 50% in a national exam of language/ literacy and numeracy/ math | | TBD | 20% | 25% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 50% | Early grade reading and numeracy assessment | Annually | | |
| | % of pupils in supported schools that remain in the learning institution the following year | | TBD | 70% | 75% | 80% | 85% | 90% | 90% | School enrolment register | Annually | | |
| | % of supported schools have child friendly school environment | | TBD | 50% | 60% | 70% | 75% | 80% | 80% | School records | Annually | | |
| Output 1.1 Children are enrolled in supported Early Childhood Development (ECD) centres and primary schools | % of children enrolled in formal school after attending ECD centres | | TBD | 80% | 85% | 90% | 95% | 98% | 98% | School enrolment register | Annually | Community and school management support. | |
| | # of supported ECD and primary schools | | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | # of pupils enrolled in supported primary schools | Male | | 800 | 880 | 968 | 1,055 | 1,171 | 1,543 | Enrollment registers | Quarterly | | |
| | | Female | | 800 | 880 | 968 | 1,065 | 1,171 | 1,543 | | | | |
| | Total | | 1,600 | 1,760 | 1,936 | 2,120 | 2,343 | 3,085 | | | | | |
| | CWD | | 80 | 88 | 97 | 106 | 117 | 154 | | | | | |
| | Male | | 300 | 330 | 363 | 399 | 439 | 578 | | | | | |
| | Female | | 300 | 330 | 363 | 399 | 439 | 578 | | | | | |
| | Total | | 600 | 660 | 726 | 799 | 878 | 1,157 | | | | | |
| | CWD | | 30 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 58 | | | | | |
| # of children with disabilities receiving assistive devices | | 0 | -- | 40 | 40 | -- | -- | 80 | | Distribution lists | Quarterly | | |
| Output 1.2 Improved Inclusive learning environment | # of teachers and caregivers trained in inclusive soft skills | Primary schools | 0 | -- | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 64 | Training reports | Quarterly | Community and school management support. | |
| | ECDs | | 0 | -- | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 32 | | | | |
| | # of classrooms renovated | | 0 | -- | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 12 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | # of inclusive toilet stances constructed | Primary schools | 0 | -- | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 24 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| Output 1.3 Increased parent and community support | % of SF supported schools developing school improvement plans | | 0 | 30% | 50% | 70% | 90% | 100% | 100% | School records | Quarterly | Cooperation from the parents to support adolescents. | |
| | # of parenting clubs established | | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | # of school gardens established | | 0 | -- | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | % of adolescents have improved life skills | | TBD | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | AEP participant survey | Annually | | |
| Outcome 2.1: Adolescents confident about their future, equipped with life, literacy and green skills | % of adolescents have improved literacy and numeracy skills | | TBD | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | AEP participant survey | Annually | Cooperation from the parents to support adolescents. | |
| | % of adolescents reporting not experiencing gender-based violence the last 3 months | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | AEP participant survey | Annually | | |
| | % of adolescents enrolling in school or a vocational training programme after participating in Bonga program | | 0 | -- | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 360 | Partner records, digital tools | | Annually |
| | # of Bonga centres established | | 0 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | -- | 37 | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| Output 2.1.1 Bonga centres established and are operational | # of adolescents enrolled in Bonga program | Male | | 65 | 81 | 81 | 73 | -- | 300 | Center enrollment registers | Quarterly | Community and parents support. | |
| | | Female | | 151 | 189 | 189 | 170 | -- | 699 | | | | |
| | | Total | | 216 | 270 | 270 | 243 | -- | 999 | | | | |
| | | PWD | | 11 | 14 | 14 | 12 | -- | 50 | | | | |
| % of Bonga participants receiving psychosocial support | | 0% | 20% | 30% | 50% | 60% | -- | 60% | Partner records | Quarterly | | | |

LSI

Uganda

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five-year target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks | |
|---|---|----------------|----------|----------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | | |
| Output 2.1.2 Bonga graduate forums established | # of forums established | | 0 | | | | | | | Partner records | Annually | Cooperation from adolescents. | |
| | | Male | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | | | | | |
| | | Female | | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 97 | | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 227 | | Forum enrollment registers | | | |
| Output 2.2: Increased support to learners to remain in formal school (P4 - P6) | % of Bonga in school participants with improved learning outcomes | PWD | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | | | | | |
| | | | 40% | 50% | 60% | 65% | 70% | 70% | | School records | Annually | | |
| | | | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | | School records | Annually | | |
| | | | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 22 | | Partner records | Quarterly | School management support. | |
| Output 2.2.1 Bonga in School clubs established | # of pupils attending Bonga in school clubs | Male | | 48 | 60 | 48 | 48 | 264 | | | | | |
| | | Female | | 140 | 140 | 112 | 112 | 616 | | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | 200 | 200 | 160 | 160 | 880 | | Child Club records | Quarterly | | |
| | | PWD | | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 44 | | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Vulnerable and poor households in Uganda with increased food security and enhanced income generation opportunities | % of targeted households with increased incomes | | TBD | 60% | 65% | 70% | 75% | 75% | | Household survey | Annually | Commitment from stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. | |
| | | | TBD | 60% | 65% | 70% | 75% | 75% | | Household survey | Annually | | |
| | | | TBD | 80% | 85% | 90% | 95% | 95% | | Household survey | Annually | | |
| | | | TBD | 60% | 70% | 75% | 80% | 80% | | Household survey | Annually | | |
| Output 3.1 Community Managed Savings groups (CMSGs) promoted | # of CMSGs formed | | 0 | 397 | 492 | 419 | 213 | 1 805 | | Digital tools, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | | Male | | 3 335 | 4 133 | 3 520 | 1 789 | 15 162 | | | | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 7 781 | 9 643 | 8 212 | 4 175 | 35 378 | | Digital tools, MIS | Quarterly | | |
| | | Total | | 11 116 | 13 776 | 11 732 | 5 964 | 50 540 | | | | | |
| Output 3.2 Savings and Producer groups (SPGs) Increase food production | # of households having achieved increased productivity from their agricultural activity | PWD | | 556 | 689 | 587 | 298 | 2 527 | | | | | |
| | | | 0 | -- | 79 | 148 | 199 | 210 | 426 | | Digital tools, MIS | Quarterly | |
| | | | 0 | -- | 63 | 133 | 179 | 163 | 538 | | Partner records | Quarterly | |
| | | | 0 | -- | 378 | 798 | 1 074 | 978 | 3 228 | | Partner records | Quarterly | |
| Outcome 4 Youth empowered with skills to find gainful employment | # of SPG members with increased access to land for production | Male | | 473 | 998 | 1 343 | 1 223 | 4 035 | | | | | |
| | | Female | | 1 103 | 2 328 | 3 133 | 2 853 | 9 415 | | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | -- | 1 575 | 3 325 | 4 475 | 4 075 | 13 450 | | Training reports | Quarterly | |
| | | PWD | | -- | 79 | 166 | 224 | 204 | 673 | | | | |
| Output 4.1 Youth empowered with vocational skills | % of CVET graduates are employed/self-employed in a relevant job 6 months after completing the training | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | Youth survey | Annually | Youth willing to participate and complete the training program. | |
| | | | TBD | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | Youth survey | Annually | | |
| | | | 0 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 77 | | Partner records | Quarterly | | |
| | | | 0 | 176 | 216 | 230 | 203 | 1 040 | | | | | |
| Output 4.2 Increased support to learners to remain in formal school (P4 - P6) | # of youth participating in community based vocational education training | Male | | 216 | 216 | 216 | 203 | 1 040 | | | | | |
| | | Female | | 176 | 216 | 230 | 216 | 1 040 | | | | | |
| | | Total | 0 | 351 | 432 | 459 | 405 | 2 079 | | Enrollment registers | Quarterly | | |
| | | PWD | | 18 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 104 | | | | | |
| Outcome 4 Youth empowered with skills to find gainful employment | % of graduates passing certification test | | 0 | -- | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | Partner records | Annually | Youth willing to participate and complete the training program. | |
| | | | 0 | -- | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | Youth survey | Annually | | |
| | | | 0 | -- | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | | | | |
| | | | 0 | -- | 70% | 70% | 70% | 70% | | | | | |

LSR

Burkina Faso

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|---|--|---|----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Burkina Faso Goal: Poor and vulnerable individuals, households and communities strengthen their resilience and enjoy their rights to quality education and socio-economic empowerment | % of targeted households cross the national poverty line | | TBD | 0% | 15% | 20% | 25% | 25% | Evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. | |
| | % of the target households with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | | 5% | -- | 30% | -- | 50% | 50% | Evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | | |
| | % of communities that adopt and implement disaster risk reduction strategies | | TBD | 10% | 30% | 50% | 75% | 100% | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | # of advocacy successes achieved by community mechanisms established or strengthened by SF | | 5% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| Outcome 1: Improved access to inclusive, quality, formal education for out-of-school children and adolescents in the targeted communities | % of Speed School learners that are enrolled in formal school after completing Speed School | Speed School I | 94% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | Digital tools | Annually | Commitment of stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. | |
| | % of SS graduates enrolled in formal schools that remain in the learning institution the following year | Speed School II | 60% | 65% | 65% | 65% | 65% | 65% | Digital tools | Annually | | |
| | # of Speed School centres opened | Speed School I | 0 | 20 | 60 | 80 | 60 | 280 | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| | # of out-of-school children (aged 8-12 at program start) enrol in SS centers (50% boys, 50% girls, 1% CWD) | Speed School II | 0 | 250 | 750 | 1,000 | 750 | 3,500 | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| Output 1.1 Speed School centres established and operational | | Boys | | 250 | 750 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 750 | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| | | Girls | 0 | 250 | 750 | 1,000 | 750 | | | | | |
| | | Total | | 500 | 1,500 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 7,000 | | | | |
| | | CWD | | 5 | 15 | 20 | 15 | 70 | | | | |
| Output 1.2 Speed School 2 centres established and operational | | Boys | 0 | 50 | 17% | 13% | -- | 18% | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| | | Girls | 0 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 1,250 | | | | |
| | | Total | | 0 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 1,250 | | | | |
| | | CWD | | 0 | 2 | 2 | -- | 6 | | | | |
| Outcome 2: Improved literacy and life skills of women (aged 20-35) in literacy centres | % of women achieved minimum proficiency level in reading, writing and mathematics | | 90% | 90% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | Digital tools | Annually | Commitment of Stakeholders; secure environment and availability of resources. | |
| | % of women applying life skills in their daily life | | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | Digital tools | Annually | | | |
| | % of women who take part in household decision making | | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Digital tools | Annually | | | |
| | # of Active Literacy centers established and operational | | 0 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 130 | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| Output 2.1 Active Literacy courses established | | Total | 0 | 250 | 750 | 1,000 | 500 | 3,250 | Partner reports | Annually | | |
| | | PWD | | 3 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 33 | | | | |
| | | % of households using the promoted environmentally-friendly practices | TBD | 10% | 30% | 30% | 40% | 50% | | | 50% | |
| | | % of households following the promoted fuel-efficient cooking practices | TBD | 10% | 30% | 30% | 40% | 50% | | | 50% | |
| Output 3.1 Communities and households improve their food security and resilience to climate change | # of hectares of land managed using environmentally sustainable practices | | TBD | 50 | 100 | 100 | -- | 250 | Partner reports | Annually | Commitment of Stakeholders; secure environment and availability of resources. | |
| | # of households trained on environmentally friendly practices | | 0 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -- | 3,500 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | # of trees planted | | 0 | 5,000 | -- | -- | -- | 5,000 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | # of households supported with food distribution | | 0 | 500 | -- | -- | -- | 500 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| Output 3.2 Households in emergency situation with food security | % of CMSG members that have started new IGAs or expanded an existing one | | TBD | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Digital tools | Annually | Commitment of stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. | |
| | % of members achieved at least 1 of their goals by the end of the cycle using their savings and/or loan | | TBD | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 90% | Digital tools | Annually | | |
| | % of women that participate in local decision-making bodies and processes and/or have leadership positions | | TBD | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 100% | Digital tools | Annually | | |
| | % of CMSG associations used an alert system to prevent corruption, gender-based violence and exploitation | | TBD | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | Digital tools | Annually | | |

LS1A

Burkina Faso

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|--|---|----------------|----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Output 4.1 Savings groups are formed and strengthened | # of Community Managed Microfinance Saving Groups reached | | 0 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 100 | 100 | 785 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of targeted women and technical government services. |
| | # of CMSG members mobilised | Women | 0 | 5 850 | 5 850 | 5 850 | 3 000 | 3 000 | 23 550 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | F.CFA in savings mobilized by CMSGs in one cycle (new groups 2024-2028) | PWD | 0 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 30 | 30 | 236 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| Outcome 5. Enhanced livelihood opportunities for young people as a result of vocational training | # of associations/unions established | | 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of local actors and support from technical services. |
| | % of individuals that have gained employable skills and are in paid employment or self-employed | | TBD | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% | 50% | 50% | Partner reports | Annually | |
| | % of youth increased their income (per month or per year on average) | | TBD | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% | 50% | 50% | Partner reports | Annually | |
| | % of youth that adopt environmentally friendly technologies or practices | | TBD | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% | 50% | 50% | Partner reports | Annually | |
| Output 5.1 Skills of young people strengthened | # youth enrolled in vocational training centers | | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | -- | 11 | Partner reports | Quarterly | Partners reports |
| | # of youth trained in vocational training centres (Male 50%, Female 50% and 1% PWD) | Male | | 30 | 40 | 30 | 10 | -- | 120 | Partners reports | | |
| | | Female | 0 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 10 | -- | 120 | | | |
| | | Total | | 60 | 80 | 60 | 20 | -- | 220 | | | |
| | | PWD | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -- | 4 | | | |

* Such as compost and biochar elaboration, tree planting, climate smart agriculture, improved stoves, green charcoal, etc.

LSI

Mali

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|---|--|-----------------|----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | | | | |
| Mali Goal: Poor and vulnerable communities and households strengthen their resilience and enjoy their right to quality education and socio-economic empowerment | % of targeted households cross the national poverty line | | 30 % | 35 % | 40 % | 45 % | 50 % | 50 % | Evaluation reports | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. |
| | % of the target households with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | | TBD | - | - | 60 % | - | 80 % | Evaluation reports | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews | |
| | % of communities adopt and implement disaster risk reduction strategies | | TBD | 20 % | 40 % | 60 % | 80 % | 100 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| | % of advocacy successes achieved by community mechanisms established or strengthened as a result of SF interventions | | TBD | 20 % | 30 % | 40 % | 50 % | 50 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| Outcome 1: Improved access to inclusive, quality, formal education for out-of-school children and adolescents in the targeted communities | % of women under 18 that were married in the target communities | | TBD | - | - | 60 % | - | 50 % | Evaluation reports | Baseline, mid-term, final reviews | Commitment of stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. |
| | % of Speed School learners that are enrolled in formal school after completing Speed School | Speed School I | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | % of SS graduates enrolled in formal schools that remain in the learning institution the following year | Speed School I | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | % of Speed School centres opened | Speed School II | 0 % | 70 % | 70 % | 70 % | 70 % | 70 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| Output 1.1 Speed School centres established and operational | # of Speed School centres opened | | 0 | 110 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 650 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of local actors and support from technical government services. |
| | # of out-of-school children (aged 8-12 at program start) enrol in SS centers (50% boys, 50% girls, 1% CWD) | Boys | 1 375 | 1 688 | 1 688 | 1 688 | 1 688 | 8 125 | | | |
| | | Girls | 1 375 | 1 688 | 1 688 | 1 688 | 1 688 | 8 125 | | | |
| | | Total | 2 750 | 3 375 | 3 375 | 3 375 | 3 375 | 16 250 | | | |
| | | CwD | 28 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 163 | | | |
| | | | 23 % | 19 % | 19 % | 19 % | 19 % | 12 % | | | |
| Output 1.2 Speed School 2 centres established and operational | # of Speed School centres in emergency context | | 0 | 23 % | 19 % | 22 % | 19 % | 19 % | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of local actors and support from technical government services. |
| | # of Speed School centres with school feeding | | 0 | 23 % | 19 % | 22 % | 19 % | 19 % | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | # of children benefiting from school feeding (50% girls) | | 0 | 625 | 625 | 625 | 625 | 3 125 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | # of Speed School 2 centres opened | | 0 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 24 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | # of out-of-school children (aged 13 to 14 at program start) enrol in SS centers (50% boys, 50% girls, 1% CWD) | Boys | 25 | 125 | 25 | 125 | 125 | 300 | | | |
| | | Girls | 25 | 125 | 25 | 125 | 125 | 300 | | | |
| Outcome 2: Improved literacy and life skills of adolescents and women (aged 15-35) in literacy and empowerment centres | % of learners trained on mental health, reproductive health, and maternal/infant | Total | 0 | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | Partner reports | Quarterly | Commitment from stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. |
| | % of learners achieved minimum proficiency level in reading, writing and mathematics | Women | 90 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | | Adolescents | 90 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | 95 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | | Women | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | | Adolescents | 80 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | 90 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | | Women | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| Output 2.1 Active Literacy courses established | % of adolescent girls and women who take part in household decision making | Adolescents | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | Partner reports | Quarterly | Youth involvement and support from local stakeholders and technical services. |
| | # of Active Literacy centers established and operational | Total | 0 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 158 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | # of women trained on Active Literacy | PWD | 0 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 750 | 3 950 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | # of Tamadash centres | | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 40 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | # of adolescents trained and supported | Boys | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 250 | | | |
| | | Girls | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 1 000 | | | |
| Output 2.2 Tamadash centres and forums established | # of forums established | Total | 0 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 1 250 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Youth involvement and support from local stakeholders and technical services. |
| | | PWD | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 13 | | | |
| | # of adolescents in forums trained on advocacy, lobbying and local government planning processes and social cohesion | | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 36 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | # of adolescents and women trained on mental health, sexual and reproductive health including family planning | | 0 | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | | | 0 | 1 050 | 1 050 | 1 050 | 1 000 | 5 200 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | | | 0 | 1 050 | 1 050 | 1 050 | 1 000 | 5 200 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |

LSI

Mali

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|---|--|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | | | |
| Outcome 3. Strengthen climate resilience to increase household food production | % of targeted households using the promoted environmentally friendly practices* | | TBD | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | Partner reports | Annually | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. |
| | % of households following the promoted fuel-efficient cooking practices | | TBD | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| | % of households in emergency situation meeting their food requirements | | TBD | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| | # of hectares of land managed using environmentally sustainable practices | | TBD | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| Output 3.1 Communities adaptation to climate change improved | # of targeted household trained on environmentally friendly practices* | | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 2 500 | Partners reports | Annually | Households are interested in participating in the trainings. |
| | # of trees planted (50 ha/year) | | 0 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 250 | Partner reports | Annually | |
| Output 3.2 Households in emergency situation with food security | # of households supported with food distribution | | 0 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 2 250 | Partner reports | Annually | Commitment of stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. |
| | % of CMMSG members started new IGAs or expanded an existing one | | 90 % | 92 % | 94 % | 96 % | 98 % | 100 % | 100 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | % of members achieved at least 1 of their goals by the end of the cycle using their savings and/or loan | | 90 % | 92 % | 94 % | 96 % | 98 % | 100 % | 100 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| Outcome 4: Improved economic status of vulnerable women through Community Managed Saving Groups (CMMSGs) and Income Generating Activities | % of women participated in local decision-making bodies and having leadership positions | | TBD | 30 % | 35 % | 40 % | 45 % | 50 % | 50 % | Digital tools | Annually | Involvement of targeted women and technical government services. |
| | % of CMMSG associations used an alert system to prevent corruption, gender-based violence and exploitation | | 0 | 50 % | 55 % | 60 % | 65 % | 70 % | 70 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | # of CMMSG groups created | | 0 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 375 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| Output 4.1 Savings groups are formed and strengthened | # of CMMSG members mobilised | Women | 0 | 2 250 | 2 250 | 2 250 | 2 250 | 2 250 | 11 250 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of targeted women and technical government services. |
| | | PWD | 0 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 158 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | F.CFA in savings mobilized by CMMSGs in one cycle (new groups 2024-2028) | | 0 | 23 400 000 | 117 000 000 | 210 600 000 | 280 800 000 | 409 500 000 | 1 041 300 000 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| Outcome 5: Enhanced livelihood opportunities for young people as a result of vocational training | % of CMMSG associations trained on the prevention of corruption, gender-based violence and exploitation | | 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of local actors and support from technical services. |
| | % of CMMSG associations engaged in collective marketing | | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | % of youth employed/self-employed in a relevant job 6 months after ending training | | 90 % | 95 % | 95 % | 98 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| Output 5.1 Skills of youth strengthened | % of youth increased their income (per month or per year on average) | | TBD | 95 % | 95 % | 98 % | 98 % | 100 % | 100 % | Partner reports | Annually | Involvement of local actors and support from technical services. |
| | % of youth that adopt environmentally friendly technologies or practices | | TBD | 50 % | 50 % | 50 % | 50 % | 50 % | 50 % | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | # of vocational training centers opened and operational | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 20 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| Output 5.1 Skills of youth strengthened | # of youth enrolled in vocational training | Men | | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 200 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| | | Women | | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 200 | | | | |
| | | Total | | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 400 | | | | |
| | | PWD | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | | | | |

* Such as tree planting, Zai, climate smart agriculture, use of improved seeds, use of organic fertiliser, improved stoves, green charcoal, biochar elaboration, etc.

LS/157

Niger

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks | |
|---|--|-----------------|----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | | | | | 2028 |
| Niger Goal: Poor and vulnerable families and communities strengthen their resilience and enjoy their right to quality education and socio-economic empowerment | % of targeted families/households cross the national poverty line | | TBD | 40% | 42% | 45% | 50% | 55% | Evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. | |
| | % of the target population/households with acceptable Food Consumption Score (FCS) | | TBD | -- | -- | 60% | -- | 80% | Evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | | |
| | % of Communities adopt and implement disaster risk reduction Strategies | | TBD | 20% | 40% | 60% | 80% | 100% | Partner records | Annually | | |
| | % of advocacy successes achieved by community mechanisms established or strengthened by SF | | TBD | 40% | 50% | 60% | 65% | 70% | Partner records | Annually | | |
| | % of women under 18 were married in the target communities | | TBD | 70% | 65% | 60% | 50% | 50% | Evaluation reports | Baseline, Mid-term, Final | | |
| Outcome 1: Improved access to inclusive, quality, formal education for out-of-school children and adolescents in the targeted communities | % of Speed School learners that are enrolled in formal school after completing Speed School | Speed School I | 94% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | Digital tools | Annually | Commitment of stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. | |
| | % of SS graduates enrolled in formal schools that remain in the learning institution the following year | Speed School II | 60% | 65% | 65% | 65% | 65% | 65% | Digital tools | Annually | | |
| | # of Speed School centres opened | Speed School I | 58% | 60% | 60% | 60% | 60% | 60% | Digital tools | Annually | | |
| | # of Speed School centres opened | Speed School II | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | Digital tools | Annually | | |
| Output 1.1 Speed School centres established and operational | # of out-of-school children (aged 8-12 at program start) enrol in SS centers (50% boys, 50% girls, 1% CWD) | Boys | 0 | 750 | 1 125 | 1 125 | 1 125 | 1 125 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of local actors and support from technical government services. | |
| | # of Speed School centres with school feeding | Girls | 0 | 750 | 1 125 | 1 125 | 1 125 | 1 125 | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| | # of children benefiting from school feeding (50% girls) | Total | 0 | 1 500 | 2 250 | 2 250 | 2 250 | 2 250 | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| | # of Speed School 2 centres opened | CWD | 0 | 67% | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10% | Digital tools | | Quarterly |
| Output 1.2 Speed School 2 centres established and operational | # of out-of-school children (aged 13-14 at programme start) enrol in Speed Schools (50% boys, 50% girls, 1% CWD) | Boys | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Partner reports | Quarterly | Involvement of local actors and support from technical services. | |
| | % of learners achieved minimum proficiency level in reading, writing and mathematics | Girls | 0 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | % of adolescents and women using life skills in their daily life | Total | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | % of adolescent girls and women who take part in household decision making | CWD | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 300 | Partner reports | | Quarterly |
| Outcome 2: Improved literacy and life skills of adolescents and women empowerment centres | % of Active Literacy centers established and operational | Active Literacy | 90% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | Digital tools | Annually | Commitment of stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. | |
| | # of women enrolled in Active Literacy | Tamadash | 90% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | Digital tools | Annually | | |
| | # of forums established | Active Literacy | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Digital tools | | Annually |
| | # of adolescents and women trained on advocacy, lobbying and local government planning processes and social cohesion | Tamadash | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | Digital tools | | Annually |
| Output 2.1 Active Literacy courses established | # of Active Literacy centers established and operational | Active Literacy | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | Partner reports | Quarterly | Women are involved in the programme. | |
| | # of women enrolled in Active Literacy | Women | 0 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 500 | 500 | Partner reports | Quarterly | | |
| | # of Tamadash centres | PWD | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 33 | Partner reports | | Quarterly |
| | # of adolescents enrolled and trained in Tamadash centres | Boys | 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 55 | Digital tools | | Quarterly |
| Output 2.2 Tamadash centers and forums established | # of forums established | Girls | 0 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 50 | 275 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Youth involvement and support from local stakeholders and technical services. | |
| | # of adolescents in forums trained on advocacy, lobbying and local government planning processes and social cohesion | Girls | 0 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 200 | 1 100 | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| | # of adolescents and women trained on mental health, sexual and reproductive health including family planning | Total | 0 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 250 | 1 375 | Digital tools | Quarterly | | |
| | # of adolescents and women trained on mental health, sexual and reproductive health including family planning | PWD | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 80 | Digital tools | | Quarterly |
| Output 2.3 Adolescents and women empowered to address challenges affecting their lives | # of adolescents and women trained on mental health, sexual and reproductive health including family planning | | 0 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Training reports | Quarterly | Youth involvement and support from local stakeholders and technical services. | |
| | # of adolescents and women trained on mental health, sexual and reproductive health including family planning | | 0 | 750 | 1 125 | 875 | 750 | 4 625 | Training reports | Quarterly | | |

157

Niger

| Goal/Outcome/Output | Objectively Verifiable Indicators | Disaggregation | Baseline | Annual Targets | | | | Five Year Target | Means of Verification | Frequency | Assumptions/Risks |
|---|---|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Communities and households improve their food security and resilience to climate change | % of households using the promoted environmentally friendly practices | | TBD | 50 % | 60 % | 60 % | 70 % | 70 % | Partner reports | Annually | Socio-economic and political environment are favourable to the targeted interventions. |
| | % of households following the promoted fuel-efficient cooking practices | | TBD | 50 % | 70 % | 80 % | 80 % | 85 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| | % of households in emergency situation meeting their food requirements | | TBD | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | 80 % | Partner reports | Annually | |
| Output 3.1 Communities adaptation to climate change improved | # of targeted households trained on environmentally friendly practices | | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 2 500 | Partner reports | Quarterly | Households are interested in participating in the trainings. |
| Output 3.2 Households in emergency situation with food security | # of trees planted | | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 2 500 | Partner reports | Quarterly | |
| Outcome 4: Improved economic status of vulnerable women through Community Managed Saving Groups (CMSGs) and Income Generating Activities (IGAs) | % of CMSG members started new IGAs or expanded an existing one | | 90 % | 92 % | 94 % | 96 % | 98 % | 100 % | Digital tools | Annually | Commitment of stakeholders, safe environment and availability of resources. |
| | % of members achieved at least 1 of their goals by the end of the cycle using their savings and/or loan | | 90 % | 92 % | 94 % | 96 % | 98 % | 100 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | % of women participated in local decision-making bodies and/or have leadership positions | | TBD | 30 % | 35 % | 40 % | 45 % | 50 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | % of CMSG associations used an alert system to prevent corruption, gender-based violence and exploitation | | 0 | 50 % | 55 % | 60 % | 65 % | 70 % | Digital tools | Annually | |
| | # of CMSG groups created | | 0 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 1 000 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| Output 4.1 Savings groups are formed and strengthened | # of CMSG members mobilised | Women | 0 | 6 000 | 6 000 | 6 000 | 6 000 | 30 000 | Digital tools | Quarterly | Involvement of targeted women and technical government services. |
| | | PWD | 0 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 300 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | F.CFA in savings mobilized by CMSGs in one cycle (new groups 2024-2028) | | 0 | 23 400 000 | 117 000 000 | 210 600 000 | 280 800 000 | 409 500 000 | Digital tools | Quarterly | |
| | # of CMSG associations formed and trained on the prevention of corruption, gender-based violence and exploitation | | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 40 | Digital tools | |
| | % of CMSG associations engaged in collective marketing | | 0 | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | 30 % | Digital tools | Quarterly | |

* Such as compost and biochar-elaboration, tree planting, climate smart agriculture, improved stoves, green charcoal, etc.

LS/157

